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Abstract

The current research aims at identifying the analytic domain of multiple-intelligence and English objective test. The research is trying to answer that if there is a correlation between the analytic domain of multiple-intelligence and the English objective tests. Thus, the research has adopted a close questionnaire for diagnosing analytic domain (logical, rhythmic, and naturalistic) of multiple-intelligence of Iraqi students at Baghdad University, and an objective English test to achieve the aim of the research. Nine colleges at Baghdad University are randomly chosen to represent the sample of the research which is 511 students. The results of the research have shown that there are weak significant correlation between the analytic domain of multiple-intelligent and the objective English test. Thus, from the results of the research Baghdad University could modify the objective tests with alternative ones that based on students’ ability and intelligence not guessing tests. Continuous long-term assessment, untimed, free-response format, individualized test and creative answers based on multiple-intelligence are recommended.
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1. Introduction

1.1 The Problem of the Research

Although Gardner’s MI theory is proposed for many years teachers are less aware of using and applying MI theory in the field of teaching and assessing foreign language. The tester needs to set the problem that can be solved in context rather than to memorize set of rules and grammar (Boonma, 2014). English teachers as well as university instructors are concerned about the tests and how are suited the students’ ability. Also, the shifting from the teacher centered into learners centered methods paved the way to investigate scientifically other ways of teaching and testing. There is a trend to supplement traditional test designs with alternative tests that are more authentic in their elicitation of meaningful communication which is untimed, open-ended, creative answer, oriented to process, continuous long-term assessment and individualized feedback and wash back (Brown, 2004). Learning a foreign language is a difficult process. It does not involve learning skills but it involves the learner’s adoption of new social and cultural behaviors, and has a significant impact on the social nature (Williams, 1994). Objective tests give the probability of the students responding correctly to an item without being sure of facts. Guessing could be a strategy for low ability students to get more marks (Obinne, 2012). Baghdad University policy uses the traditional way for testing students although, all Baghdad University’ Colleges use “New Headway Plus” as a series textbooks in teaching English foreign language but they are still adopting the traditional methods in testing.

The problem of the research depends on the method of testing and assessing English language which is being followed at Baghdad University. English teachers have adopted traditional test which focused on memorizing English rules and terms neglecting students’ intelligence. Objective tests are not suitable to measure students’ achievement because low students’ abilities could achieve high marks by guessing. So, Many English teachers and instructors in higher education pay attention to knowledge rather the students’ intelligence in the test. Thus, the significance of this research is to answer the question “is there correlation between analytic domain of multiple-intelligence and the objective English test?”
1.2 The Importance of the Research

The following are the importance of the research:

1) It might contribute to the way that teachers and instructors constructing their English test.

2) Multiple intelligence research raises teachers’ awareness of the best ways in the development of course materials, teaching methods and testing which are of paramount importance in the learning/teaching process.

3) It provides information to be taken into consideration by policy makers, language-planners, textbook developers, language instructors, as well as the test designers. Hopefully, the present research will provide us with opportunities to look differently at the curriculum, instruction and testing.

4) It helps teachers to design a courses and test in that they can awaken all kinds of intelligence in their students and take advantage of a variety of games, stories, music, images as well as different tools and materials intended to mix all kinds of intelligence. As a result, teachers’ creativity will be strengthened and it will free them from relying just on traditional test and encourage them to design a syllabus and tests appropriate at the level of their students’ intelligence.

5) This research may spread awareness among EFL teachers on the MI theory and its implications in education in general and in ELT field in particular and hold training sessions for teachers on the MI theory and its educational implications.

6) It is expected that this research would open a new horizon for the instructors to adopt the student centered teaching and relinquish the traditional teacher centered teaching. Teachers implement new techniques and students understand lesson goals and objectives, value communicative tasks and activities, generate topics and choose materials, work cooperatively, and identify their own learning strategies and styles (Naqeeb & Awad, 2011).

7. The results of this research may help curriculum developers and testers in Iraq choose more meaningful activities based MI theories and tasks that meet many different intelligence domains, motivation orientations and objective English test.

8. The current research reveal to alternative types of test which is more authentic and timeless which might be suitable for students’ multiple-intelligence.

1.3 Relevant Studies

There are many studies which have tackled multiple intelligence as the following:

1) Madkour and Mohamamed (2016). The study is quasi- experimental one investigated the effect of MI as integrated abilities for English teaching at higher education. The aim of the research is to examine the impact of students’ MI profiles on students’ motivation and proficiency. The research prepared questionnaire with a Likert scale to identify students’ MI. The control group is taught according traditional instructions relied on memorizing grammatical rules while the experimental group is taught according students’ MI profiles. The results have showed ineffective teaching that relied on memorizing language rules. The study finds a statistical relation between MI and students’ motivation and language proficiency (Madkour & Mohamamed, 2016). The previous study is identified the relation between multiple intelligences domains and students’ language proficiency but the current research identifies the relation between Analytic Domain of MI and English objective test.

2) Abidi et al. (2013). The study aims to investigate the effect of teaching strategy based on multiple intelligences on students’ achievement. Therefore, two groups have been chosen to fillfull the aim of this study. This study has concluded that if students are offered a variety of learning experiences, they will become actively engaged and invested in learning process. Therefore, the students who taught through multiple intelligence instructions were achieved higher score than the traditional instruction (Abidi et al., 2013). The previous study was experimental one but the current research is descriptive research. The previous study was investigated students’ achievement while the current research focuses on students’ achievements in objective test.

3) Silja, Kallenbach and Viens, Juli (2001) the study has many questions one of them is “how MI theory can support instruction and assessment with adult”. It has used instructions, activities, interviews, case studies, profiles and observations, and weekly assessment are based on MI theory. The findings of the results have shown impact to MI activities, and these activities shape students’ reaction and participation as well achievement in ESOL (Silja, Kallenbach, & Viens, 2001). The previous study has assessed the students’ intelligences and this is similar with the current research but the current research is focuses on students’ Analytic Domain of MI. There are three points in line with the current research. Firstly both use descriptive method, secondly; both researches
tackled with adults. Thirdly, both researches assess students’ intelligence. Furthermore, all the previous studies have small samples Abidi et al. (2016) is 40 students, Silja and Viens (2001) is 10 students, and Madkour and Mohamamed (2016) is 108 while the current research has 511 Iraqi students from 9 colleges at Baghdad University. All the previous studies have investigated MI but this research has focused on Analytic Domain of MI and objective test not assessment or achievement tests.

1.4 The Question of the Research

The current research is trying to reveal the connection between the analytic domain of multiple-intelligence and English objective test by answering the question “Is there any relation between the analytic domain of multiple intelligence of Iraqi students at Baghdad University and English objective test?”

2. Methods of the Research

The research has followed descriptive method and it has adopted some procedures to fulfill the aim of the research. It has applied a close questionnaire for diagnosing the Analytic Domain of Multiple-intelligence and English objective test to collect data from Iraqi students at Baghdad University. The following are the operational definitions of the variables:

1) Multiple Intelligence is a type of intelligences each person possesses to understand, store and retrieve information which can either be done independently or together. Razmjoo (2008), Richards and Schmidt (2002), Richards and Rodgers (2001).

2) The Analytic Domain consists of the logical, musical and naturalistic intelligences. These intelligences are heuristic processes in nature. They are considered analytic because they promote analysis of knowledge that is presented to the learner and incorporating data into existing schema (McKenzie, 2002).

3) Logical-mathematical consists of items that measure a person’s perceptions of both their mathematical ability and logical thinking skills. The key abilities of Logical–Mathematical Intelligence are: a) appreciating abstract relations and b) facility in the use of numbers (Tirri & Nokelainen, 2011).

4) Musical / Rhythmic Intelligence is the capacity to perceive, discriminate, transform, and express musical forms. People with Musical intelligence have a figural or “top-down” understanding of music (global, intuitive), a formal or “bottom-up” understanding (analytic, technical), or both (Armstrong, 2009).

5) Naturalistic Intelligence: People with naturalistic intelligence, have an expertise in recognizing and classifying the numerous species—the flora and fauna found in an individual’s environment. They have ecological sensitivity to other natural phenomena (e.g., cloud formations, mountains, etc.) (Armstrong, 2009).

6) Objective Test is a test that can be scored objectively without personal judgment i.e true-false, multiple-choice items ect. (Richards & Schmidt, 2002).

2.1 Analytic Domain of Multiple-Intelligence Questionnaire

The research has applied a questionnaire to diagnose the analytic domain of multiple intelligences of Iraqi students at Baghdad University. It is based on Howard Gardner’s Multiple Intelligence Test (2007). But the current research has chosen the Analytic Domain of the test which includes three categories: logical/ mathematical, musical/ rhythmic, and naturalistic. Each category consists of 4 questions items. Each item represents certain aspect of the student’s thinking and feeling, it is followed by a five-point Likert scale ranging from (1) never, (2) rarely, (3) sometimes, (4) often, to (5) always. The questions are translated into Arabic language so to collect
right responses especially English is a foreign language taught in Iraq

2.1.1 Validity of the Questionnaire
The close questionnaire has been given to 5 jury members in the field of Psychology and ELT to ensure the face and content validity. The percentage of the agreement of the questionnaire is (100%) which is considered high agreement percentage; therefore, the questionnaire has been adopted without any modification.

2.1.2 Reliability of the Questionnaire
It has been used the Cronbach’s Alpha to measure the reliability of analytic domain of multiple-intelligence questionnaire. The total score for the three categories is 0.62 which indicates good reliability.

2.2 English Objective Test
The test has included six questions each consisted five items. The first question is true and false sentences depended on reading comprehension. The second question is multiple choice with four options, and the third one is matching question. While the fourth question is ticking the correct sentence each item has two options depending on the grammar, the fifth one is putting the words in correct list (place- job- food). Finally, the last question is re-order the words to make questions. English objective test’s questions are selected from “New Headway Plus, intermediate level”.

2.2.1 Validity of Objective Test
The test has given to the same jury members to insure it face and content validly. The validity of the test is (92%) which indicates high percentage score. Thus, the test has adopted in the final version test.

2.2.2 Reliability of Objective Test
The test has given to 30 students as a pilot study to reveal the difficulty and the time require. It has been used test re-test for reliability. The score has shown good reliability (100%). Also, reliability statistics score of Cronbach’s Alpha is 0.666 of all the six questions. Thus, it indicates a good reliability, the test has adopted without any modification.

2.3 Sampling Procedures
The population of the research is Iraqi students at Baghdad University which are 6211. The sample of the research has been chosen randomly as a cluster samples according to the Colleges of Baghdad University (Nursing College- Sciences College- Education College- Physical Education and Science Sport for Women-Agriculture Engineering College- Arts College- Islamic Sciences College- Languages College- Education College- Sciences Education College). The sample of the research is 20% of the population of the research. The age of the sample is between (19-23). Many students’ forms are excluded because they are above the average age. Also, many students’ forms are voided because they are not complete either the test or the questionnaire. Thus, the final size of the sample is 511 Iraqi students at Baghdad University.

3. Results
The results of the research have analyzed according Statistical Package for the Social Science Software (SPSS) by using correlation.

3.1 Statistics and Data Analysis
The results of the research have analyzed in order to determine whether there is any statistical significant correlation coefficient among the three categories of Analytic domain of multiple intelligence (Logical, Rhythmic, and Naturalistic) and Iraqi students’ English objective test. Spearman’s Correlations coefficient is used. Brown (2005: 285) defined correlation coefficient as a numerical value represent the relation of two variables which range from (+1.0 to –1.0). See Table (1):

\[
\text{Table 1. Correlation of types of multiple intelligence and English objective test}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of multiple intelligence</th>
<th>true and false items</th>
<th>choose the correct answer</th>
<th>match items</th>
<th>put the words in correct list</th>
<th>tick the correct answer</th>
<th>re-order the words</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>logical / mathematic type</td>
<td>.034</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.022</td>
<td>.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musical type</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>0.166**</td>
<td>-0.236**</td>
<td>0.187**</td>
<td>0.145**</td>
<td>0.376**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naturalistic type</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytic domain</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.107*</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 1 shows that there is positive weak statistical significant correlation between the logical category and true and false items is 0.034, choose the correct answer is 0.024, match items is 0.067, put the words in the correct list is 0.043, tick the correct answer is 0.022, and re-order the words is 0.03. Musical category of MI obtains negative weak correlation between true and false items of the objective test which is -0.056, choose the correct answer is 0.166 which indicates significant correlation at the level 0.01, match items is 0.236 which indicates significant correlation between musical category and the match items, put the words in correct list question is 0.187 this obtains that there is positive correlation between them, tick the correct answer is 0.145 which is also significant correlation, and re-order the words is 0.376 which is significant correlation at the level 0.01. Finally, the naturalistic category with true false score is -0.017 which indicates negative weak correlation between naturalist category of MI and true false items, choose the correct answer score is -0.002 which indicates negative weak significant correlation between the naturalist and choose the correct answer, match items score is -0.011 which obtains that there is positive weak correlation, put the words in correct list score is 0.067 which is positive weak correlation, tick the correct answer score is -0.51 which is negative weak correlation, and re-order the words score is -0.001 which is negative weak correlation between Naturalist category and re-order the words. Finally, the Analytic domain of MI scores show that there are weak correlation between true false items which is -0.008, choose the correct answer is 0.005, match items score is 0.005, put the words in correct list is 0.006, tick the correct answer score is significant correlation at the level 0.01, and re-order the words score is 0.21.

Based on the results obtain from the Table 1, it can be said that there is weak significant correlation between the Analytic domain of MI and true false items, choose the correct answer, match items, put the words in correct list, and re-order the words. But the Analytic domain of MI shows significant correlation in tick the correct answer.

4. Discussion, Conclusions, and Recommendations

According to the results obtained, it can be said the following:

(a) Most objective test items are a “dichotomous choice” (Richards & Schmidt, 2002). This is clear in the score obtains between tick the correct answer and Analytic domain of MI and the significant correlation between them. The guessing item of objective test is not a valid to measure students’ achievement and ability. This result is in agreement with Obinne (2012) guessing could be a strategy by students to earn marks without being sure of the knowledge. Objective items have attracted students with low ability for guessing (Obinne, 2012).

(b) English test should be proportional with method of teaching. Baghdad University has used modern textbook in teaching EFL but it supplied English teachers with objective test. The test is considered suitable when it measures exactly what is supposed to measure.

(c) Objective test has failed to meet students’ analytic domain of multiple-intelligence. Thus, objective test has not fitted students’ analytic domain of multiple-intelligence. It is lined with Madkour and Masounmeh (2016), Abidi et al. (2013), and Silja and Viens (2001).

(d) Fixed question time and options of objective tests do not encourage students creativity, ability to solve problem, working together on the same project, ability of analysis and discussion…ect. This is in agreement with Silja and Viens (2001). The current finding completely agrees with Brown (2004) who informed that the time requires test and assessment should not be fixed specially with English subject. Although the objective test focuses on the right answer, covers a wide information, corrects answers objectively but objective test does not reflect the creativity of the students as well interactive performance, discussion, opinion, hypothesis which is important rule in learner-center approach in teaching EFL.

(e) Objectives test encourage students memorize set of rules and terms to achieve the success in the test while teaching EFL goal to enable the students to reach the proficiency. This is impossible by using the traditional method of teaching English; teacher should know students’ intelligence and try to develop their achievement. The current research alignments with Madkour and Mohamamed (2016) the study concluded that memorizing language rules do not give language proficiency while teaching by MI instructions lead to students’ proficiency. These findings are relevant to Abidi et al. (2013) that engaging with MI activities have effected students’ achievement. Also, the results of the research Silja, and Viens (2001) identifying students’ strongest intelligence...
guide the learning process for better achievement. The researchers prepared many assessments according to students’ intelligence with activities based on MI theory. Therefore, the students have improved their intelligence as well the achievement.

(f) The policy of Baghdad University which has forced English teachers in all the colleges adopts “New Headway Plus” as a syllabus with fixed tests depend on objective scoring items disregard the specializations i.e (student at College of Physical Education student is different from student at Science College, College of arts, College of Languages etc…). The significant weak correlation between analytic domain of multiple-intelligence and objective test indicates that there is no connection between them. These findings of the results go in line with Madkour and Masounmeh (2016), Abidi et al. (2013), and Silja and Viens (2001). Thus, the English test should reflect the intelligence of the students. University of Baghdad might change the policy of the Iraqi English assessment.

(g) English test is the starting point not the last one as many English teacher thought. The test is the beginning of developing the students’ ability. Students’ fear from English test was an obstacle facing the current research. Therefore, a lot of forms were excluded from the sample because of this issue. The finding of the research agrees with Madkour and Mohamamed (2016) the study mentioned that MI assessment guide the learning process.

It is concluded from the results of the research that the objective test is not appropriate for students’ achievement because it has guessing items. Objective test could encourage students’ memorization, furthermore, objective test do not reflected students’ abilities and intelligence. So, it is important to try alternative test which suite the modern textbook adopted by Baghdad University. Objective test and written test is not the only way for assessing students’ achievement. English teachers and instructors could apply many other tests but they are faced by the policy of education in Iraq and Baghdad University. English teachers and test designers should construct their materials and tests according learner-centered approach. Baghdad University could give freedom for English teachers for creativity and respect College’s specializations. But Baghdad University duty is to provide professional development courses for the staff members of English language teaching.

The research has suggested that other researches might tackle with alternative assessment with the multiple-intelligence. Also, it might investigate other types of multiple-intelligence and objective test.
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Appendix (A)

Analytic Domain of Multiple Intelligence Questionnaire

Dear Student

Read each statement quickly and choose one certain word that describes you and definitely applies to you. Remember, the test will only be accurate if you’re honest with yourself so complete the test based on what you actually think and feel, not on how you think you should or want to feel. Thank you in advance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Logical/Mathematic</th>
<th>always</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
<th>Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I remember facts, figures and formulas easily</td>
<td>انترنت الحقائق والصين والاشكال</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I like forming rational explanations of events</td>
<td>احب صياغة وشرح الأحداث بشكل منطقي</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I can do math in my head</td>
<td>استطيع عمل الحساب في راسي</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I systematically work through problems</td>
<td>عمل بشكل منتظم خلال المشاكل</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Musical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I have always dreamed of being a musician or singer</td>
<td>احلم دائما ان يكون موسيقي او مغني</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>I sing in the shower and often sing to myself</td>
<td>اغني لنفسي واغني في الحمام</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>At school my favourite subject was music</td>
<td>في المدرسة المادة المفضلة لدي هي الموسيقى</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>I would prefer to go to the opera or concert</td>
<td>افضل الذهاب الى العروض الموسيقية</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naturalist</td>
<td>always</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>Rarely</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>My pet is also one of my best friends I couldn’t bear to be without them.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>As a kid I used to really enjoy catching butterflies watching insects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>At school I really enjoyed biology and natural sciences like geography.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>I like watching science/nature documentaries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix (B)

English Objective Test

The internet started in the 1960s. The United States Department of Defense started it because they wanted a computer network to help the American military. In the 1970s, scientists worked on it and learnt how to send messages between computers. Then in the 1980s, telephone companies made it possible to communicate on the computer network in many more countries. An international computer language was born, and the Net went worldwide.

Q1- Read the passage, Are the sentences true (√) or false (X)

1- The Internet started in the 1970s.  
2- Telephone companies started it.  
3- It started in America.  
4- There is an international computer language.  
5- In the 1980s scientists sent messages between computers.

Q2- Choose the correct answer:

1- What’s your name? ……..name’s Annie.  
2- I ……..two brothers a sister. and (has- have- am- is)  
3- Do you live in Dundee? No, I ……..(am- am not- do – don’t)  
4- Ali ……..up at 6:00. (get- gets- got- getting)  
5- He ……..the film yesterday. (watch- watches- watched- watching)

Q3- Mach the verbs with their opposites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>Leave</th>
<th>Love</th>
<th>Win</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Buy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Finish</td>
<td>Sell</td>
<td>Arrive</td>
<td>Lose</td>
<td>Hate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4- Tick( √) the correct sentence

- I’m wear a blue shirt today.  
- Where are you going.  
- Peter no working this week.  
- Why aren’t you having a coffee.  
- I like leave early today.  

- I’m wearing a blue shirt today.  
- Where you going.  
- Peter isn’t working this week.  
- Why you no having a coffee.  
- I’d like to leave early today.
Q5- Put the words in correct list:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hotel</th>
<th>bread-</th>
<th>cinema-</th>
<th>seafood-</th>
<th>fish-</th>
<th>bank-</th>
<th>writer-</th>
<th>actor-</th>
<th>rice-</th>
<th>artist-</th>
<th>driver-</th>
<th>doctor-</th>
<th>chicken-</th>
<th>school-</th>
<th>eggs-</th>
<th>café-</th>
<th>car park-</th>
<th>singer-</th>
<th>salad-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Places</td>
<td>jobs</td>
<td>Food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q6- Re-order the words to make questions:

1- I/ can/ you/ help/?/ ..........................
2- tell/ time/ me/ ?/ you/ the/ can/ .............
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