HPV and Methylation Indicators in Paired Tumor and Saliva in HNSCC

Josena K. Stephen¹, Kang Mei Chen¹, Meredith Mahan², George Divine², Tamer Ghanem¹ & Maria J. Worsham¹

¹ Department of Otolaryngology/Head and Neck Research, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, USA

² Department of Public Health Sciences, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, USA

Correspondence: Josena K. Stephen, M.D., Research Scientist, Department of Otolaryngology / Head and Neck Research, Henry Ford Hospital, 1 Ford Place, 1D-06, Detroit, MI 48202, USA. Tel: 313-874-3487. Fax: 313-874-6257. E-mail: jstephe2@hfhs.org

Received: July 26, 2013Accepted: September 2, 2013Online Published: September 10, 2013doi:10.5539/cco.v2n2p42URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/cco.v2n2p42

Abstract

Human papilloma virus type 16 (HPV16) is a causative agent for some head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) and an independent risk factor for oropharyngeal SCC. The goal of this study was to examine HPV16 associated gene methylation in paired saliva and tumor DNA with assessment of the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive, and negative predictive value for saliva HPV as a test for HNSCC. HPV16 status was determined by quantitative PCR (qPCR) in 35 primary HNSCC paired tumor and saliva specimens. Tumor cut points >0.03 and >0.1 and saliva cut points >0 and >0.001 were used to classify results as HPV positive or negative. Aberrant methylation was determined by the methylation-specific multiplex ligation probe amplification (MS-MLPA) assay. The frequency of promoter hypermethylation in tumor samples was 66% (23/35) versus 17% (6/35) in saliva. Two of 35 paired tumor and saliva samples had commonly methylated genes. HPV and methylation were correlated for IGSF4 (p=0.01) in tumor samples (cut point >0.03) and for ESR1 in saliva samples (cut point >0). Although the sensitivity of HPV detection in saliva was significantly reduced when saliva cut points were increased from >0 to ≥ 0.001 , the specificity and positive predictive values were 100% at saliva cut point of ≥ 0.001 , regardless of tumor cut points. Within clearly defined parameters, HPV detection in saliva DNA shows promise as a non invasive approach for tumor HPV status. Methylated genes detected in saliva may be useful in early detection and as potential predictive markers of HNSCC. Further confirmation and validation in larger cohorts is required.

Keywords: head and neck squamous cell cancer, HPV, methylation, paired tumor and saliva specimens

1. Introduction

Accurate and reliable stratification of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) for prediction of outcomes has been challenging, mainly because of the numerous anatomic sites and sub-sites from which tumors can arise. HNSCC affect more than 500,000 people worldwide each year, accounting for 5% of all malignancies, and a gradually increasing rate over the last three decades (Brockstein et al., 2004). In the United States, approximately 40,250 cases of oral cavity and pharynx HNSCC are expected in 2012 with an estimated 7,850 deaths (American Cancer Society [ACS], 2012).

For HNSCC, epidemiological and laboratory evidence now warrant the conclusion that the human papilloma virus (HPV) is a causative agent for some HNSCC (Gillison et al., 2000; Gillison & Lowy, 2004a) and an independent risk factor for oropharyngeal SCC (OPSCC) (D'Souza et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2009; Ang et al., 2010). Molecular subtyping has shown that HPV positive HNSCC differ from HPV negative HNSCC in several ways. HPV positive HNSCC have genetic alterations that are indicative of HPV oncoprotein function (Gillison, 2004b) and are characterized by wild-type *TP53* (Gillison et al., 2000; Hafkamp et al., 2003), wild-type *CDKN2A* (p16) (Licitra et al., 2006), and infrequent amplification of cyclin D (Ragin et al., 2006; Slebos et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2008), whereas the converse is true for HPV negative HNSCC. High-risk types of HPV encode E6 and E7, two viral oncoproteins that promote tumor progression by inactivating two well-characterized tumor suppressor proteins, TP53 and RB1, respectively (Scheffner, Werness, Huibregtse, Levine, & Howley, 1990; Munger et al., 2004).

While it is becoming more firmly established that HPV positive HNSCC have better survival outcomes than HPV negative HNSCC (Gillison et al., 2000; SR Schwartz, Yueh, McDougall, Daling, & SM Schwartz, 2001; Weinberger et al., 2006; Ang et al., 2010; Worsham et al., 2013b), believed to be because of better response to chemo radiation (Fakhry et al., 2008), the underlying mechanism for these improved prognosis outcomes remains underexplored. Epigenetic events of promoter hypermethylation represent important tumor-specific markers occurring early in tumor progression. Our group has demonstrated using high-throughput methods, the contribution of both genetic (Worsham et al., 2003; Worsham et al., 2006b) and epigenetic events (Maruya et al., 2004), often working together (Worsham et al., 2006a), in the development and progression of HNSCC. A recent study of over 27,000 CpGs , while supporting differential DNA methylation in HPV-associated HNSCC also indicted epigenetic modulation of signal transduction pathways (Worsham, Chen, Ghanem, Stephen, & Divine, 2013a). Also, studies are beginning to establish a mechanistic role for promoter methylation with improved survival outcomes in HPV positive HNSCC. Gubanova et al. (2012) showed that promoter hypermethylation and concordant low *SMG-1* expression correlated not only with HPV positive status and improved patient survival, but also enhanced response to radio therapy in HPV-positive HNSCC cell lines.

Epigenetic alterations identified in saliva and cancerous lesions with biomarker potential would have high clinical significance in risk assessment and early detection. The goal of this study was to examine the utility of saliva as a non-invasive approach to detect high risk HPV and associated aberrantly methylated genes in paired saliva and tumor HNSCC.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Cohort

The study cohort consisted of 35 HNSCC with saliva and tumor tissue from the same patient. Sites in the head and neck included oral cavity (OC), oropharynx (OP), hypopharynx (HP), larynx and other site (consisting of nasopharynx, paranasal sinuses, neck mass, head and neck skin). Patients diagnosed within the Henry Ford Health System with both saliva and tumor tissue collected between 2006 and 2007 were included in the study. Risk factor information was obtained from patient questionnaires and electronic medical records.

This study was approved by the Henry Ford Health System Institutional Review Board committee and informed consent was obtained from all enrolled subjects.

2.2 DNA Extraction and HPV Detection

Two milliliters of saliva were collected from each study subject in Oragene DNA kits (DNA Genotek Inc, Ontario, Canada), and saliva DNA was extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Whole 5 micron sections or microdissected HNSCC lesions were processed for DNA extraction as previously described (Raju et al., 2006). Tumor and saliva HPV DNA was determined using quantitative real time PCR (qPCR) (Worsham et al., 2013b; Chen et al., 2013; Stephen et al., 2013; Stephen et al., 2012). Tumor cut points of ≥ 0.03 and ≥ 0.1 and saliva cut points of ≥ 0.001 were used to classify results as HPV positive or negative (Worsham et al., 2013b; Chen et al., 2013; Stephen et al., 2013; Stephen et al., 2012).

2.3 Methylation-Specific Multiplex Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification (MS-MLPA) Assay

Archival tissue and saliva DNA were interrogated for methylation status using the multi-gene methylation-specific multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MS-MLPA) assay. MS-MLPA (Worsham et al., 2006a; Chen et al., 2007), a modification of the conventional MLPA assay (Schouten et al., 2002) allows for the simultaneous detection of changes in methylation status as well as copy number changes of approximately 41 different DNA sequences in a single reaction requiring only 20ng of human DNA.

Briefly, the MS-MLPA panel in the presence of *Hha*I detects aberrant promoter hypermethylation by taking advantage of a *Hha*I site in the gene probes of interest. The control gene probes, without a *Hha*I site, serve as undigested controls. A normal control DNA sample will generate 41 individual peaks for all probes in the absence of *Hha*I and 15 separate peaks in the presence of *Hha*I (Stephen et al. 2010). Normal controls for methylation assays are run using DNA from paraffin-embdedded squamous epithelium from individuals with no evidence of cancer.

2.4 Gene Probe Panels

The 41 gene probe panel (ME001B, www. mlpa.com) used in this cohort interrogates 38 unique genes implicated in cancer (24 tumor suppressor genes) for methylation status in two separate reactions (one in the absence of the methyl-sensitive enzyme *HhaI* and one in the presence of the *HhaI* enzyme). There are two probes each for *MLH1*, *RASSF1* and *BRCA2*, and a normal control DNA sample will generate 41 individual peaks in the absence

of HhaI and 15 individual peaks in the presence of HhaI.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

Fisher's exact tests were used to examine the association between HPV and each gene as well as age, gender, smoking, race, site and stage in tumor and saliva samples. Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney tests were used to compare continuous variables between groups. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value of saliva results were calculated using 2x2 tables. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05. All data were analyzed using SAS 9.2.

3. Results

The median age of the cohort was 56 years (range 22 to 81 years). There were 26 males and 9 females. The majority of the biopsies were from the larynx (13/35) followed by the oropharynx (7/35), other site (6/35), includes nasopharynx, paranasal sinuses, neck mass, head and neck skin), oral cavity (5/35), and hypopharynx (4/35).

3.1 HPV

In this study HPV positivity cut points for saliva were set at >0 or ≥ 0.001 and for tumor at ≥ 0.03 or ≥ 0.1 . The criteria for cut points of >0 or ≥ 0.001 for saliva and ≥ 0.1 for tumor were set by Zhao et al. (2005) to measure sensitivity and specificity of HPV detection in matched tumor and saliva specimens. The tumor cut point of ≥ 0.03 was previously determined by our group (Stephen et al., 2012). HPV data was not available for 4 of 35 tumor samples. Their corresponding saliva samples were HPV negative at cut point ≥ 0.001 , but at cut point >0, one saliva sample was HPV positive. For saliva, 12/35 samples at cut point ≥ 0.03 and 3/35 samples at cut point ≥ 0.001 were HPV positive. Of the 31 tumor samples with HPV data, 10 samples at cut point ≥ 0.03 and 8 at cut point ≥ 0.1 . Were HPV in the saliva and tumor from the same patient, decreasing to 6 matched pairs when the tumor HPV cut point was raised to ≥ 0.1 . However, when the saliva cut point was raised to ≥ 0.001 , this decreased to three cases for both tumor and saliva, regardless of tumor cut point. Of the 35 matched cases, 17 had agreement for lack of HPV in saliva and tumor regardless of cut points. This was increased to 21 matched cases at saliva cut point of ≥ 0.001 .

There were a few discordances between the saliva and tumor samples but only at saliva cut point of >0, with 4 and 5 discordant cases at tumor cut point ≥ 0.03 and ≥ 0.1 , respectively. The latter is reflected in the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value for saliva HPV. At saliva HPV cut point of ≥ 0.001 , specificity and positive predictive values were 100% regardless of tumor cut points; however, sensitivity was low (**Table 1**). The sensitivity of HPV detection in saliva at tumor cut point ≥ 0.03 went from 70% to 30% at saliva cut points >0 and ≥ 0.001 , respectively. Similarly at tumor cut point ≥ 0.1 , the sensitivity decreased from 75% to 37.5% at saliva cut points >0 and ≥ 0.001 , respectively (**Table 1**).

	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
Tumor ≥0.03 vs saliva >0	70.0%	81.0%	63.6%	85.0%
Tumor ≥ 0.03 vs saliva ≥ 0.001	30.0%	100%	100%	75.0%
Tumor ≥ 0.1 vs saliva > 0	75.0%	78.3%	54.6%	90.0%
Tumor ≥ 0.1 vs saliva ≥ 0.001	37.5%	100.0%	100%	82.1%

Table 1. Sensitivity and specificity

PPV - positive predictive value; NPV - negative predictive value

Older individuals (over 61 years) with HNSCC were more likely to be HPV negative (p=0.009 at a tumor HPV cut point of ≥ 0.03 (**Table 2**), whereas oropharyngeal tumors were more likely to be HPV positive (p=0.015) at a saliva cut point of ≥ 0.001 (**Table 3**).

Variable	Response	Negative (T) (N= 21)	Positive (T) (N=10)	p-value			
Age		61.0 ± 17.8	52.5 ± 12.5	0.009			
Gender	Female	7 (33%)	1 (10%)	0.222			
	Male	14 (67%)	9 (90%)				
Smoking	Current	12 (60%)	4 (40%)	0.312			
	Never	2 (10%)	0 (0%)				
	Past	6 (30%)	6 (60%)				
Race	AA	5 (24%)	4 (44%)	0.389			
	CA	16 (76%)	5 (56%)				
Site	HP	2 (10%)	0 (0%)	0.701			
	Larynx	10 (48%)	3 (30%)				
	OC	2 (10%)	1 (10%)				
	OP	4 (19%)	3 (30%)				
	Other	3 (14%)	3 (30%)				
Stage	0	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	0.397			
	1	7 (33%)	1 (10%)				
	2	3 (14%)	1 (10%)				
	3	3 (14%)	1 (10%)				
	4	8 (38%)	6 (60%)				

Table 2. Tumor HPV and demographics at tumor cut point ≥ 0.03

T - Tumor, AA - African American, CA - Caucasian American, HP - Hypopharynx, OC - Oral cavity, OP - Oropharynx

Table 3	Saliva HPV	/ and	demogra	phics a	at saliva	cut noi	nt > 0.001
10010 J.	Sunvain	unu	uemogru	pines (at Sull vu	cut por	111 0.001

Variable	Response	Negative (S) (N= 32)	Positive (S) (N= 3)	p-value
Age		56.7 ± 13.3	62.0 ± 13.1	0.599
Gender	Female	9 (28%)	0 (0%)	0.553
	Male	23 (72%)	3 (100%)	
Smoking	Current	17 (55%)	1 (33%)	0.668
	Never	3 (10%)	0 (0%)	
	Past	11 (35%)	2 (67%)	
Race	AA	11 (34%)	0 (0%)	1.000
	CA	21 (66%)	2 (100%)	
Site ^a	HP	4 (13%)	0 (0%)	0.015
	Larynx	13 (41%)	0 (0%)	
	OC	5 (16%)	0 (0%)	
	OP	4 (13%)	3 (100%)	
	Other	6 (19%)	0 (0%)	
Stage	0	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	0.626
	1	8 (25%)	0 (0%)	
	2	6 (19%)	0 (0%)	
	3	4 (13%)	0 (0%)	
	4	13 (41%)	3 (100%)	

S - Saliva, AA - African American, CA - Caucasian American, HP - Hypopharynx, OC - Oral cavity, OP - Oropharynx ^a Site differs significantly between the saliva HPV groups. All 3 of the HPV positive patients have OP sites.

3.2 Methylation

The frequency of promoter hypermethylation in tumor samples was 66% (23/35) as compared to 17% (6/35) in saliva (**Supplemental Table 1**). The most frequently methylated genes in saliva samples included *TIMP3*, *CDKN2B*, and *ESR1* (3/6) followed by *APC*, *DAPK1* and *IGSF4* (2/6). The most frequently methylated genes in tumor cases included *RARB* -10/23, *APC* - 9/23, *TIMP3* and *CDKN2B* - 7/23, *CDKN2A* and *IGSF4* - 5/23 tumor samples. Two (6%) of 35 paired cases had methylated genes in common. *APC* was methylated in tumor and saliva from Case 31, both of which were HPV negative. *TIMP3*, *CDKN2B*, *ESR1* and *IGSF4* were methylated in saliva and tumor in Case 93, which was HPV16 positive only in the tumor sample. Of the remaining 33 cases, 10 (29%) had agreement for lack of methylation in saliva and tumor.

3.3 Methylation and HPV

In the tumor samples, at HPV cut point of ≥ 0.03 , methylation of *RARB* (p=0.04), *CDKN2B* (p=0.027) and *IGSF4* (p=0.027) was associated with being HPV positive; only *IGSF4* (p=0.01) was associated with HPV positive status at tumor cut point ≥ 0.1 . In saliva samples, methylation of *ESR1* correlated with HPV positive status (p=0.034) but only at cut point ≥ 0 . There was no association between HPV status and methylation in saliva at cut point ≥ 0.001 .

4. Discussion

Alterations in gene sequences, expression levels and protein structure or function have been associated with every type of cancer. These molecular markers can be useful in detecting cancer, determining prognosis and monitoring disease progression or therapeutic response. DNA-based tumor markers usually have distinct characteristics rendering them attractive targets for molecular diagnosis of cancer in body fluids (Goessl, Muller, Straub, & Miller, 2002). Body fluids used for early detection of cancer include sputum for lung cancer (Palmisano et al., 2000; Belinsky et al., 2006), urine for urologic tumors (Hoque et al., 2004), and breast fluid (Lee et al., 2004). Currently for head and neck cancers there is no routine early detection test even though these cancers are often curable if found early. There is, however, evidence that oral examination screenings for early detection of high-risk populations for HNSCC may be cost effective (Speight et al., 2006). HPV detection in saliva DNA presents an added advantage in screening for HNSCC as it is readily available and noninvasive. Also, its feasibility as a screening tool for high-risk individuals for HNSCC is supported by recent studies (Zhao et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2013).

A study from our group examining saliva from HNSCC patients and normal controls demonstrated that saliva gene-based algorithms can differentiate patients from normal controls (Sethi, Benninger, Lu, Havard, & Worsham, 2009). In particular a gain in copy number of *PMAIP1* alone or in combination with *PTPN1* in saliva can differentiate HNSCC cases from normal controls with a high degree of sensitivity and specificity. This suggests that saliva can represent tumor-associated genetic alterations, making it a useful screening tool for detection and monitoring of head and neck cancers. A study by Carvalho et al. (2011) confirmed the presence of a panel of known hypermethylated genes in HNSCC in the saliva of HNSCC patients. They also found that gene hypermethylation is associated with local recurrence and poorer overall survival. In a follow up study, *TIMP3* methylation alone was associated with local recurrence-free survival in HNSCC, indicating its possible use as a salivary rinse biomarker for HNSCC recurrence (Sun et al., 2012). We found *TIMP3* to be frequently methylated in saliva (3/6) and tumor (7/23) samples. *TIMP3* was also methylated in the paired saliva and tumor of one case. Other studies on salivary rinses by Rettori et al.(2013) and Kaur et al.(2010) have found that different ethnic cohorts from different geographic regions also have very similar gene hypermethylation patterns. This suggests that detection of methylated genes in salivary rinses could constitute a specific and robust marker that could be applied across geographic populations.

In our current study the frequency of promoter methylation was greater in the tumor samples when compared to saliva (66% vs 17%). We found that for 10 cases the primary tumor and corresponding saliva were concordant for lack of methylation. Of the 23 cases in which the primary tumor was methylated only 4 (17%) presented with methylation of 1 or more genes in the corresponding saliva. This may be due to dilution effects of normal (and presumed nonmethylated) genomes present in saliva from normal mucosa (Carvalho et al., 2008). It is also possible that the methylation rate of each specific gene could vary because of differences in the cohort composition among different studies, specifically tumor localization (Rettori et al., 2013). Also, different methods of specimen collection may affect the quantity of altered cells in saliva. Our saliva samples were obtained as spit saliva. However, it has been shown that hypermethylation patterns in saliva collected with or without exfoliating brush do not differ (Sun et al., 2012).

Saliva specimens in 2 cases displayed gene methylation lacking in the corresponding primary tumor. Some

reasons for this might include 1) spurious results in saliva, 2) methylated genes comprising only a minor tumor clone without or insufficient representation in the tumor DNA, or 3) methylation unrelated to the tumor.

Several studies have shown the presence of HPV16 in saliva of HNSCC patients (Smith et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2005). A recent study from our group found that the overall prevalence of HPV in saliva was significantly different between control subjects, screening subjects without head and neck cancer, and patients with head and neck cancer (Chen et al., 2013). At cut point >0, each group had an overall HPV prevalence of over 5%, with a higher prevalence of 30.8% in the HNC patient group. At cut point >0.001, the prevalence was 0% in the control, 1.2% in the screening, and 16.7% in the HNC group. HPV prevalence in the HNC group at both cut points was different across sites (<0.001) and significantly higher in the oropharynx (OP) than larynx or site as other after Hochberg's adjustment (Chen et al., 2013), and support the findings of our current study that non-OP sites are more likely to be HPV negative than OP sites. This corresponds to studies of tumor tissue where the frequency of HPV infection is significantly higher in OP than in other sites of the head and neck including HP, larynx, and OC (Kreimer et al., 2005; Dayyani et al., 2010; Stephen et al., 2013). Chuang et al. (2008) correlated the presence of HPV16 in surveillance salivary rinses with disease progression in HNSCC. Similar to pap smear screening performed for early detection of cervical cancers (Sankaranarayanan, Budukh, & Rajkumar, 2001), HPV detection in saliva DNA could help with early detection of HNSCC, especially in OPSCC, with a distinct advantage of a non-invasive approach.

In our current study, we found HPV in 32% (10/31) and 26% (8/31) of our tumor samples at cut point ≥ 0.03 and ≥ 0.1 , respectively. In the saliva, HPV was present in 34% (12/35) and 9% (3/35) at cut point >0 and ≥ 0.001 , respectively. As saliva cut points for HPV increased from >0 to ≥ 0.001 , the sensitivity was significantly reduced regardless of tumor cut points (70% to 30% at tumor cut point ≥ 0.03 and 75% to 37.5% at tumor cut point of ≥ 0.1). A limitation of this study was the relatively small samples size of only 35 paired cases. Regardless, at saliva cut point >0 and tumor cut point ≥ 0.1 , positive predictive values (PPV) and negative predictive values (NPV) in our study were comparable to the Zhao et al. study (2005) of 92 matched saliva and tumor cases (PPV of 54.6% in our study vs 53.3% and NPV of 90% in our study vs 80.6%).

Promoter hypermethylation in HNSCC has been reported for numerous tumor suppressor genes. In this study, IGSF4, ESR1, CDKN2B and RARB methylation were significantly associated with HPV positive status at various tumor and saliva cut points. In the tumor samples, at HPV cut point of ≥ 0.03 , methylation of *RARB* (p=0.04), CDKN2B (p=0.027) and IGSF4 (p=0.027) was associated with being HPV positive; only IGSF4 (p=0.01) was associated with HPV positive status at tumor cut point ≥ 0.1 . In saliva samples, methylation of ESR1 correlated with HPV positive status (p=0.034) but only at cut point >0. There was no association between HPV status and methylation in saliva at cut point ≥ 0.001 . IGSF4 is a novel immunoglobulin-like intercellular adhesion molecule first characterized as a tumor suppressor of non-small cell lung cancer and termed TSLC1 (Murakami et al., 1998; Kuramochi et al., 2001), in which silencing was primarily achieved by allelic loss and promoter methylation. IGSF4 is located at the region of 11q23.2 and spans more than 300 kilobases (Murakami et al., 1998). Promoter hypermethylation of IGSF4 has been reported in nasopharyngeal carcinomas (Hui et al., 2003). In HNSCC, promoter hypermethylation of *IGSF4* is a primary as well as a disease progression event, indicating complete abrogation of tumor suppressor function (Worsham et al., 2006). IGSF4 hypermethylation is also a highly frequent event in cervical cancers where epigenetic silencing of IGSF4 has been implicated in the progression from high-risk HPV-containing, high-grade CIN lesions to invasive cervical cancer (Steenbergen et al., 2004). Furthermore, IGSF4 silencing was accompanied by complete loss or significant decrease of IGSF4 mRNA expression in these cell lines. In esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC), loss of IGSF4 protein expression as a consequence of promoter hypermethylation, a late stage event in ESCC carcinogenesis, has been implicated in invasion and metastasis and aggressive tumor behavior through the disruption of cell-cell interactions (Ito et al., 2003). Additionally, IGSF4 may offer a promising new therapeutic target in ESCC because expression can be restored by a demethylating agent (Ito et al., 2003).

ESR1, located at 6q25.1, is important for hormone binding, DNA binding, and activation of transcription (Ponglikitmongkol, Green, & Chambon, 1988). *ESR1* has metastasis-suppressor properties in breast cancer cells (Garcia, Derocq, Freiss, Rochefort, 1992), suggesting a tumor-suppressor role for *ESR1* (Issa et al., 1994). Methylation of CpG sites in the *ESR1* promoter, with concordant loss or downregulation of *ESR1* expression, is the primary mechanism in prostate cancer (Li et al., 2000). *ESR1* is methylated in Barrett's metaplastic and dysplastic samples as well as in some adenocarcinoma samples, suggesting that DNA hypermethylation is an early epigenetic event in the progression of esophageal adenocarcinomas (EAC) (Eads et al., 2000). A previous study by our group on laryngeal squamous cell carcinomas found that aberrant methylation of *ESR1* was an independent predictor of late stage diagnosis (Stephen et al., 2010). *ESR1* also exhibits age-dependent

methylation in colon mucosa (Issa et al., 1994), the cardiovascular system (Post et al., 1999), ulcerative colitis (Issa et al., 2001), and prostate cancer, suggesting that *ESR1* may be involved in age-dependent increase in cancer incidence.

Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2B (*CDKN2B*), also known as *p15*, inhibits CDK4 and regulates cell growth by controlling cell cycle G1 progression. Inactivation of *CDKN2B* gene at the genomic and epigenetic level is a frequent event in human oral SCCs (Yeh et al., 2003) and in HNSCC (Shintani et al., 2001; Worsham et al., 2003; Worsham et al., 2006). In precancerous oral tissues and lesions of the head and neck (Shintani et al., 2001; Worsham et al., 2007; Stephen et al., 2007a; Stephen et al., 2007b), aberrant methylation of *CDKN2B* has been implicated as an early event in the pathogenesis of these lesions. Preferential methylation of *CDKN2B* has been shown to be a useful tumor marker in undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma (Wong et al., 2003). As a consistent target of aberrant promoter hypermethylation, *CDKN2B* may serve as a useful biomarker and a potential therapeutic target for gene reactivation studies and in disease monitoring for progression.

The alteration of *RARB* via DNA hypermethylation has several implications in HNSCC. Decreased expression of *RARB* has been associated with increased keratinizing squamous differentiation in HNSCC cells (Wan et al., 1999). More importantly, in our primary HNSCC cohort (Chen et al., 2007), *RARB* silencing by promoter hypermethylation in early and late stage tumors suggest that DNA methylation of *RARB* is an early epigenetic event. Also, it has been demonstrated that the demethylating agent 5-aza-CdR can restore *RARB* inducibility by retinoid ATRA (9-cis-RA) in most cell lines (Youssef et al., 2004).

5. Conclusion

HPV detection in saliva DNA shows promise as a non invasive approach for tumor HPV status within clearly defined parameters. Given the limited sample size of this study, for clinical relevance, the results will require confirmation and validation in larger cohorts. Pursuing the feasibility of detecting HPV and methylation markers in saliva DNA is relevant to not only early detection of HNSCC but also in disease monitoring in response to treatment as well as predictors of HNSCC especially in high risk individuals. Also, unlike genes that are inactivated by nucleotide sequence variation, genes silenced by epigenetic mechanisms are still intact and thus retain the potential to be reactivated by environmental or medical intervention (Olden, Issac, & Roberts, 2011).

Acknowledgements

Drs. Stephen and Worsham had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. This study was supported by R01 NIH DE 15990 (Dr. Worsham).

References

American Cancer Society. (2012). Cancer Facts & Figures 2012. Atlanta: American Cancer Society.

- Ang, K. K., Harris, J., Wheeler, R., Weber, R., Rosenthal, D. I., Nguyen-Tan, P. F., ... Gillison, M. L. (2010). Human papillomavirus and survival of patients with oropharyngeal cancer. *N Engl J Med*, *363*(1), 24-35.
- Belinsky, S. A., Liechty, K. C., Gentry, F. D., Wolf, H. J., Rogers, J., Vu, K., ... Byers, T. (2006). Promoter hypermethylation of multiple genes in sputum precedes lung cancer incidence in a high-risk cohort. *Cancer Res*, 66(6), 3338-3344. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-3408
- Brockstein, B., Haraf, D. J., Rademaker, A. W., Kies, M. S., Stenson, K. M., Rosen, F., ... Vokes, E. E. (2004). Patterns of failure, prognostic factors and survival in locoregionally advanced head and neck cancer treated with concomitant chemoradiotherapy: a 9-year, 337-patient, multi-institutional experience. *Ann Oncol*, 15(8), 1179-1186. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdh308
- Carvalho, A. L., Jeronimo, C., Kim, M. M., Henrique, R., Zhang, Z., Hoque, M. O., ... Califano, J. A. (2008). Evaluation of promoter hypermethylation detection in body fluids as a screening/diagnosis tool for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res, 14*(1), 97-107. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-0722
- Carvalho, A. L., Henrique, R., Jeronimo, C., Nayak, C. S., Reddy, A. N., Hoque, M. O., ... Califano, J. A. (2011). Detection of promoter hypermethylation in salivary rinses as a biomarker for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma surveillance. *Clin Cancer Res, 17*(14), 4782-4789. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0324
- Chen, A. A., Marsit, C. J., Christensen, B. C., Houseman, E. A., McClean, M. D., Smith, J. F., ... Kelsey, K. T. (2009). Genetic variation in the vitamin C transporter, SLC23A2, modifies the risk of HPV16-associated head and neck cancer. *Carcinogenesis*, *30*(6), 977-981.

- Chen, K. M., Sawhney, R., Khan, M., Benninger, M. S., Hou, Z., Sethi, S., ... Worsham, M. J. (2007). Methylation of multiple genes as diagnostic and therapeutic markers in primary Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg, 133(11), 1131-1138.
- Chen, K. M., Stephen, J.K., Ghanem, T., Stachler, R., Gardner, G., Jones, L., ... Worsham, M. J. (2013). Human papilloma virus prevalence in a multiethnic screening population. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*, 148(3), 436-442. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0194599812471938
- Chuang, A. Y., Chuang, T. C., Chang, S., Zhou, S., Begum, S., Westra, W. H., ... Califano, J. A. (2008). Presence of HPV DNA in convalescent salivary rinses is an adverse prognostic marker in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Oncol*, 44(10), 915-919. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2008.01.001
- Dayyani, F., Etzel, C. J., Liu, M., Ho, C. H., Lippman, S. M., & Tsao, A. S. (2010). Meta-analysis of the impact of human papillomavirus (HPV) on cancer risk and overall survival in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC). *Head Neck Oncol*, 2(15), doi:1758-3284-2-15 [pii] 10.1186/1758-3284-2-15.
- D'Souza, G., Kreimer, A. R., Viscidi, R., Pawlita, M., Fakhry, C., Koch, W. M., ... Gillison, M. L. (2007). Case-control study of human papillomavirus and oropharyngeal cancer. *N Engl J Med*, 356(19), 1944-1956.
- Eads, C. A., Lord, R. V., Kurumboor, S. K., Wickramasinghe, K., Skinner, M. L., Long, T. I., ... Skinner, K. A. (2000). Fields of aberrant CpG island hypermethylation in Barrett's esophagus and associated adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Res*, 60(18), 5021-5026.
- Fakhry, C., Westra, W. H., Li, S., Cmelak, A., Ridge, J. A., Pinto, H., ... Gillison, M. L. (2008). Improved survival of patients with human papillomavirus-positive head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in a prospective clinical trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst, 100*(4), 261-269. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djn011
- Garcia, M., Derocq, D., Freiss, G., & Rochefort, H. (1992). Activation of estrogen receptor transfected into a receptor-negative breast cancer cell line decreases the metastatic and invasive potential of the cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci US A*, *89*(23), 11538-11542.
- Gillison, M. L., Koch, W. M., Capone, R. B., Spafford, M., Westra, W. H., Wu, L., ... Sidransky, D. (2000). Evidence for a causal association between human papillomavirus and a subset of head and neck cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst, 92(9), 709-720.
- Gillison, M. L., & Lowy, D. R. (2004a). A causal role for human papillomavirus in head and neck cancer. *Lancet*, 363(9420), 1488-1489. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16194-1
- Gillison, M. L. (2004b). Human papillomavirus-associated head and neck cancer is a distinct epidemiologic, clinical, and molecular entity. *Semin Oncol, 31*(6), 744-754. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.seminoncol.2004.09.011
- Goessl, C., Muller, M., Straub, B., & Miller, K. (2002). DNA alterations in body fluids as molecular tumor markers for urological malignancies. *Eur Urol,* 41(6), 668-676. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0302-2838(02)00126-4
- Gubanova, E., Brown, B., Ivanov, S. V., Helleday, T., Mills, G. B., Yarbrough, W. G., & Issaeva, N. (2012). Downregulation of SMG-1 in HPV-positive head and neck squamous cell carcinoma due to promoter hypermethylation correlates with improved survival. *Clin Cancer Res, 18*(5), 1257-1267. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-2058
- Hafkamp, H. C., Speel, E. J., Haesevoets, A., Bot, F. J., Dinjens, W. N., Ramaekers, F. C., ... Manni, J. J. (2003). A subset of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas exhibits integration of HPV 16/18 DNA and overexpression of p16INK4A and p53 in the absence of mutations in p53 exons 5-8. *Int J Cancer*, 107(3), 394-400. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijc.11389
- Hoque, M. O., Begum, S., Topaloglu, O., Jeronimo, C., Mambo, E., Westra, W. H., ... Sidransky, D. (2004).
 Quantitative detection of promoter hypermethylation of multiple genes in the tumor, urine, and serum DNA of patients with renal cancer. *Cancer Res, 64*(15), 5511-5517. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-0799
- Hui, A. B., Lo, K. W., Kwong, J., Lam, E. C., Chan, S. Y., Chow, L. S., ... Huang, D. P. (2003). Epigenetic inactivation of TSLC1 gene in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Mol Carcinog*, 38(4), 170-178.
- Issa, J. P., Ottaviano, Y. L., Celano, P., Hamilton, S. R., Davidson, N. E., & Baylin, S. B. (1994). Methylation of the oestrogen receptor CpG island links ageing and neoplasia in human colon. *Nat Genet*, 7(4), 536-540.
- Issa, J. P., Ahuja, N., Toyota, M., Bronner, M. P., & Brentnall, T. A. (2001). Accelerated age-related CpG island

methylation in ulcerative colitis. Cancer Res, 61, 3573-3577.

- Ito, T., Shimada, Y., Hashimoto, Y., Kaganoi, J., Kan, T., Watanabe, G., ... Imamura, M. (2003). Involvement of TSLC1 in progression of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Res, 63*(19), 6320-6326.
- Kaur, J., Demokan, S., Tripathi, S. C., Macha, M. A., Begum, S., Califano, J. A., & Ralhan, R. (2010). Promoter hypermethylation in Indian primary oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Int J Cancer*, 127(10), 2367-2373. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijc.25377
- Kreimer, A. R., Clifford, G. M., Boyle, P., & Franceschi, S. (2005). Human papillomavirus types in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas worldwide: a systematic review. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, 14(2), 467-475.
- Kuramochi, M., Fukuhara, H., Nobukuni, T., Kanbe, T., Maruyama, T., Ghosh, H. P., ... Murakami, Y. (2001). TSLC1 is a tumor-suppressor gene in human non-small-cell lung cancer. *Nat Genet*, *27*(4), 427-430.
- Lee, A., Kim, Y., Han, K., Kang, C. S., Jeon, H. M., & Shim, S. I. (2004). Detection of Tumor Markers Including Carcinoembryonic Antigen, APC, and Cyclin D2 in Fine-Needle Aspiration Fluid of Breast. Arch Pathol Lab Med, 128(11), 1251-1256.
- Li, L. C., Chui, R., Nakajima, K., Oh, B. R., Au, H. C., & Dahiya, R. (2000). Frequent methylation of estrogen receptor in prostate cancer: correlation with tumor progression. *Cancer Res, 60*, 702-706.
- Licitra, L., Perrone, F., Bossi, P., Suardi, S., Mariani, L., Artusi, R., ... Pilotti, S. (2006). High-risk human papillomavirus affects prognosis in patients with surgically treated oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol*, 24(36), 5630-5636. http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2005.04.6136
- Maruya, S., Issa, J. P., Weber, R. S., Rosenthal, D. I., Haviland, J. C., Lotan, R., & El-Naggar, A. K. (2004).
 Differential methylation status of tumor-associated genes in head and neck squamous carcinoma: incidence and potential implications. *Clin Cancer Res, 10*(11), 3825-3830.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-03-0370
- Munger, K., Baldwin, A., Edwards, K. M., Hayakawa, H., Nguyen, C. L., Owens, M., ... Huh, K. (2004). Mechanisms of human papillomavirus-induced oncogenesis. J Virol, 78(21), 11451-11460. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.78.21.11451-11460.2004
- Murakami, Y., Nobukuni, T., Tamura, K., Maruyama, T., Sekiya, T., Arai, Y., ... Reeves, R. H. (1998). Localization of tumor suppressor activity important in nonsmall cell lung carcinoma on chromosome 11q. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *95*(14), 8153-8158.
- Olden, K., Isaac, L., & Roberts, L. (2011). Neighborhood-specific epigenome analysis: the pathway forward to understanding gene-environment interactions. *N C Med J*, 72(2), 125-127.
- Palmisano, W. A., Divine, K. K., Saccomanno, G., Gilliland, F. D., Baylin, S. B., Herman, J. G., & Belinsky, S. A. (2000). Predicting lung cancer by detecting aberrant promoter methylation in sputum. *Cancer Res*, 60(21), 5954-5958.
- Ponglikitmongkol, M., Green, S., & Chambon, P. (1988). Genomic organization of the human oestrogen receptor gene. *Embo J*, 7(11), 3385-3388.
- Post, W. S., Goldschmidt-Clermont, P. J., Wilhide, C. C., Heldman, A. W., Sussman, M. S., Ouyang, P., ... Issa, J. P. (1999). Methylation of the estrogen receptor gene is associated with aging and atherosclerosis in the cardiovascular system. *Cardiovasc Res, 43*, 985-991.
- Ragin, C. C., Taioli, E., Weissfeld, J. L., White, J. S., Rossie, K. M., Modugno, F., & Gollin, S. M. (2006). 11q13 amplification status and human papillomavirus in relation to p16 expression defines two distinct etiologies of head and neck tumours. *Br J Cancer*, 95(10), 1432-1438. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6603394
- Raju, U., Mei, L., Seema, S., Hina, Q., Wolman, S. R., & Worsham, M. J. (2006). Molecular classification of breast carcinoma in situ. *Curr Genomics*, 7, 523-532. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/138920206779315719
- Rettori, M. M., de Carvalho, A. C., Bomfim Longo, A. L., de Oliveira, C. Z., Kowalski, L. P., Carvalho, A. L., Vettore, A. L. (2013). Prognostic significance of TIMP3 hypermethylation in post-treatment salivary rinse from head and neck squamous cell carcinoma patients. *Carcinogenesis*, 34(1), 20-27. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgs311
- Sankaranarayanan, R., Budukh, A. M., & Rajkumar, R. (2001). Effective screening programmes for cervical cancer in low- and middle-income developing countries. *Bull World Health Organ*, 79(10), 954-962.

- Scheffner, M., Werness, B. A., Huibregtse, J. M., Levine, A. J., & Howley, P. M. (1990). The E6 oncoprotein encoded by human papillomavirus types 16 and 18 promotes the degradation of p53. *Cell*, 63(6), 1129-1136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(90)90409-8
- Schouten, J. P., McElgunn, C. J., Waaijer, R., Zwijnenburg, D., Diepvens, F., & Pals, G. (2002). Relative quantification of 40 nucleic acid sequences by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 30(12), e57
- Schwartz, S. R., Yueh, B., McDougall, J. K., Daling, J. R., & Schwartz, S. M. (2001). Human papillomavirus infection and survival in oral squamous cell cancer: a population-based study. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*, 125(1), 1-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1067/mhn.2001.116979
- Sethi, S., Benninger, M. S., Lu, M., Havard, S., & Worsham, M. J. (2009). Noninvasive molecular detection of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: an exploratory analysis. *Diagn Mol Pathol*, 18(2), 81-87. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PDM.0b013e3181804b82
- Shintani, S., Nakahara, Y., Mihara, M., Ueyama, Y., & Matsumura, T. (2001). Inactivation of the p14(ARF), p15(INK4B) and p16(INK4A) genes is a frequent event in human oral squamous cell carcinomas. *Oral Oncol*, 37(6), 498-504.
- Slebos, R. J., Yi, Y., Ely, K., Carter, J., Evjen, A., Zhang, X., ... Chung, C. H. (2006). Gene expression differences associated with human papillomavirus status in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res, 12*(3 Pt 1), 701-709. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-05-2017
- Smith, E. M., Ritchie, J. M., Summersgill, K. F., Hoffman, H. T., Wang, D. H., Haugen, T. H., & Turek, L. P. (2004). Human papillomavirus in oral exfoliated cells and risk of head and neck cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 96(6), 449-455.
- Smith, E. M., Wang, D., Kim, Y., Rubenstein, L. M., Lee, J. H., Haugen, T. H., & Turek, L. P. (2008). P16INK4a expression, human papillomavirus, and survival in head and neck cancer. *Oral Oncol*, 44(2), 133-142. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2007.01.010
- Speight, P. M., Palmer, S., Moles, D. R., Downer, M. C., Smith, D. H., Henriksson, M., & Augustovski, F. (2006). The cost-effectiveness of screening for oral cancer in primary care. *Health Technol Assess, 10*(14), 1-144, iii-iv.
- Steenbergen, R. D., Kramer, D., Braakhuis, B. J., Stern, P. L., Verheijen, R. H., Meijer, C. J., & Snijders, P. J. (2004). TSLC1 gene silencing in cervical cancer cell lines and cervical neoplasia. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 96(4), 294-305.
- Stephen, J. K., Vaught, L. E., Chen, K. M., Sethi, S., Shah, V., Benninger, M. S., ... Worsham, M. J. (2007a). Epigenetic events underlie the pathogenesis of sinonasal papillomas. *Mod Pathol*, 20(10), 1019-1027.
- Stephen, J. K., Vaught, L. E., Chen, K. M., Shah, V., Schweitzer, V. G., Gardner, G., ... Worsham, M. J. (2007b). An epigenetically derived monoclonal origin for recurrent respiratory papillomatosis. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg, 133(7), 684-692.
- Stephen, J. K., Chen, K. M., Shah, V., Havard, S., Kapke, A., Lu, M., Benninger, M. S., & Worsham, M. J. (2010). DNA hypermethylation markers of poor outcome in laryngeal cancer. *Clin Epigenetics*, 1(1-2), 61-69. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13148-010-0005-3
- Stephen, J. K., Chen, K. M., Shah, V., Havard, S., Lu, M., Schweitzer, V. P., ... Worsham, M. J. (2012). Human papillomavirus outcomes in an access-to-care laryngeal cancer cohort. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*, 146(5), 730-738. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0194599811434482
- Stephen, J. K., Divine, G., Chen, K. M., Chitale, D., Havard, S., & Worsham, M. J. (2013). Significance of p16 in Site-specific HPV Positive and HPV Negative Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Cancer and Clinical Oncology*, 2(1), 51-61.
- Sun, W., Zaboli, D., Wang, H., Liu, Y., Arnaoutakis, D., Khan, T., ... Califano, J. A. (2012). Detection of TIMP3 promoter hypermethylation in salivary rinse as an independent predictor of local recurrence-free survival in head and neck cancer. *Clin Cancer Res, 18*(4), 1082-1091. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-2392
- Wan, H., Oridate, N., Lotan, D., Hong, W. K., & Lotan, R. (1999). Overexpression of retinoic acid receptor beta in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells increases their sensitivity to retinoid-induced suppression of squamous differentiation by retinoids. *Cancer Res*, 59(14), 3518-3526.

- Weinberger, P. M., Yu, Z., Haffty, B. G., Kowalski, D., Harigopal, M., Brandsma, J., ... Psyrri, A. (2006). Molecular classification identifies a subset of human papillomavirus--associated oropharyngeal cancers with favorable prognosis. *J Clin Oncol*, 24(5), 736-747. http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2004.00.3335
- Wong, T. S., Tang, K. C., Kwong, D. L., Sham, J. S., Wei, W. I., Kwong, Y. L., & Yuen, A. P. (2003). Differential gene methylation in undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Int J Oncol*, 22(4), 869-874.
- Worsham, M. J., Pals, G., Schouten, J. P., Van Spaendonk, R. M., Concus, A., Carey, T. E., & Benninger, M. S. (2003). Delineating genetic pathways of disease progression in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*, 129(7), 702-708.
- Worsham, M. J., Chen, K. M., Meduri, V., Nygren, A. O., Errami, A., Schouten, J. P., & Benninger, M. S. (2006a). Epigenetic events of disease progression in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg, 132(6), 668-677.
- Worsham, M. J., Chen, K. M., Tiwari, N., Pals, G., Schouten, J. P., Sethi, S., & Benninger, M. S. (2006b). Fine-mapping loss of gene architecture at the CDKN2B (p15INK4b), CDKN2A (p14ARF, p16INK4a), and MTAP genes in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*, 132(4), 409-415.
- Worsham, M. J., Chen, K. M., Ghanem, T., Stephen, J. K., & Divine, G. (2013a). Epigenetic Modulation of Signal Transduction Pathways in HPV-Associated HNSCC. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0194599813490895
- Worsham, M. J., Stephen, J. K., Chen, K. M., Mahan, M., Schweitzer, V. P., Havard, S., & Divine, G. (2013b). Improved survival with HPV among African Americans with oropharyngeal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-3003
- Yeh, K. T., Chang, J. G., Lin, T. H., Wang, Y. F., Tien, N., Chang, J. Y., ... Shih, M. C. (2003). Epigenetic changes of tumor suppressor genes, P15, P16, VHL and P53 in oral cancer. *Oncol Rep, 10*(3), 659-663.
- Youssef, E. M., Lotan, D., Issa, J. P., Wakasa, K., Fan, Y. H., Mao, L., ... Lotan, R. (2004). Hypermethylation of the retinoic acid receptor-beta(2) gene in head and neck carcinogenesis. *Clin Cancer Res*, 10(5), 1733-1742.
- Zhao, M., Rosenbaum, E., Carvalho, A. L., Koch, W., Jiang, W., Sidransky, D., & Califano, J. (2005). Feasibility of quantitative PCR-based saliva rinse screening of HPV for head and neck cancer. *Int J Cancer, 117*(4), 605-610.

Supplement

Supplemental Table 1. Cohort Site, HPV and Methylation status

	11								5														
ID	Site	qPCR HPV16	TIM P3		CDK N2A		CDK N2B		CH FR	CASP 8	CDK NIB		CD 44		DAP Kl	VH L		RASS F1			IGS F4		GST P1
S1	OC	Negative																					
T1		Negative		М		М								М				М					
S2	Other-NeckMass	Negative																					
T2		Negative				М				М													
S3	OC	Negative																					
T3	00	No result																					
S4	Other-skin upper lip																						
T4	other skin upper np	Negative																					
S5	OP	Negative																					
T5	01	Negative																					
S6	OP	Positive																					
T6	01	Positive		М					М														
S7	HP	Negative		IVI					IVI														
57 T7	пг			М							М											М	М
	Lomme	Negative									IVI											IVI	IVI
S8	Larynx	Negative		Μ																			
T8	00	Negative																					
S9 T9	OC	Negative																					
	Lomme	No result																					
	Larynx	Negative																					
T10		Negative				М																	
	OP	Negative																					
T11		Negative																					
	HP	Negative																					
T12		No result					М		М														
	Larynx	Negative																					
T13		Positive				М	М								Μ								
	HP	Negative					Μ																
T14		Negative							М														
	OP	Negative																					
T15		Negative																					
	Larynx	Negative																					
T16		Positive																					
	Larynx	Negative																					
T17		Negative																					
	OP	Positive																					
T18		Positive													М						М	Μ	
	Other-Neck mass	Negative																					
T19		Positive				Μ	Μ																
S20	OC	Negative																					
T20		Negative																					
S22	OP	Positive																					
T22		Positive	М			М																	
S24	Larynx	Negative																					
T24		Positive			М		Μ				Μ					М		М	М	М	М	М	М
S25	Other-Neck mass	Negative						М				М	М				М						Μ
T25		Positive				Μ																	
S27	Larynx	Negative																					
T27		Positive																			М		
	Larynx	Negative																					
T29		Negative		М	Μ																		
S30	Larynx	Negative																					
T30		Negative																					
	Larynx	Negative		М	М																		
T31		Negative		М		М									М								
	Other-Nasopharynx			-		-																	
T32		Positive																					
	Larynx	Negative																					
T33		Negative		М	м		М										М						
	Larynx	Positive	141	141	141		141										141						
T34		Positive																					
	HP	Negative																					
T56		No result		М			М															М	
150		. to result		141			141															171	

ID Site	qPCR	TIM	AP	CDK	RA	CDK	$H\!I$	CH	CASP	CDK	PT	CD	RASS	DAP	VH	ES	RASS	TP	FH	IGS	CDH	GS7
	HPV16	P3	C	N2A	RB	N2B	CI	FR	8	NIB	EN	44	Fl	Kl	L	RI	Fl	73	IT	F4	13	Pl
S92 OP	Positive																					
Т92	Positive				М															Μ		
S93 Other-maxilla	Negative	Μ				Μ								М		М				Μ		
Т93	Positive	Μ	М	М	Μ	Μ										М		М		Μ		
S94 OC	Negative	М				Μ								М		Μ				Μ		
Т94	Positive																					
S97 Larynx	Negative																					
Т97	Negative		М	Μ				Μ														

T = tumor specimens

OC = Oral Cavity

OP = Oropharynx

HP = Hypopharynx

M = Methylated genes

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).