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Abstract
Malaysia Religion High Certificate (STAM) examination is a Malaysian student’s eligibility to study in the Middle East. STAM was introduced in 2000 as a result of a Memorandum of Understanding Cultural Agreement between the Governments of Malaysia and the Arab Republic of Egypt in November 1999. But many STAM graduates who took the language test at the university had failed to get the level of qualification and had to take Arabic classes at the language center before pursuing studies at the undergraduate level. This study aims to identify the level of text reading in Arabic among STAM candidates and Arabic grammar skills. Therefore, the researchers aim of 52 students who is a STAM candidate to participate in this study. The approach used in this study is quantitative; wherein the information gathered is presented in the Figures. Data collection using a measurement tool based on the study of texts authored by Sheikh Yusuf al-Qaradawi containing 448 words. Comprehension and grammar skills tests were done for collecting data and then presented into numbers. The findings showed that the respondents’ reading and grammar level are moderate. Therefore, it is recommended that students who will take the STAM are given proper guidance so that they can improve their Arabic language proficiency before pursuing studies at tertiary institutions.
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1. Introduction
Generally, language skills are categorized into two types of communication, the received and conveyed communications. Received communications are associated with listening and reading skills. Whereas conveyed communication is related to speaking and writing (Talib, 1993, p. 35). Reading is a language skill activity that aims at understanding any written materials. It is not considered as a language skill activity if the purpose of reading is not to give a solid comprehension (Dawud, 1990, p. 15). Thus, reading skill is one’s ability to effectively understand the messages or information that the author presented in writing. Al Ramini (2009, p. 53) further explains that reading is one of the essential skills in the process of teaching and learning, coming in second after listening skill as a mean to gather information, details as well as recognizing people’s feelings.

Language proficiency is identified through familiarity and discern of vocabulary particularly in forms and sounds of letters, as well as meanings. Students are also required to master grammatical rules and assimilate them with all four aspects of language skills which cover listening, speaking, reading and writing (Husin, 1988, p. 274; Tu’aimah, 1985, p. 167; Aziz, 1983, p. 138; Lado, 1980, p. 11).

However, the Arabic language is peculiar with variants that are not seen in any other languages of the world and these differences may be seen as unique features that reflect the language of the Quran. The language system of Arabic uses case endings in which certain lines [signs] are attached to the word’s grammatical functions. They are marfūr (nominative), mansūb (accusative), end (jusitive) and majrūr (genitive), which also represent word placements in sentences or better known as flexible case (Rahman & Mezah, 2010, p. 82). Therefore, one needs to read Arabic guided by these grammatical cases [signs] on each word to fully understand the meaning of a sentence.
Mastery of the Arabic language is determined by students’ capabilities in empowering the language through listening, speaking, reading and writing accompanied with the ability to apply all aspects of the language, which includes grammar (nahā), root words (sāraf), vocabulary, and of course the Arabic writing system (Rusoff, 2008, p. 183; Ahmad et al., 2011, p. 385).

Malaysian Religion High Certificate (STAM) was introduced in the year 2000 as a result of an agreement between the Malaysian government and Arab Republic of Egypt in the Memorandum of Cultural Understanding in November 1999 (Rejab, 2001, p. 176). Number of enrollment has increased from 160 students in the year 2001 and up until the year 2007 a total of 670 students had registered. In 2011, 571 students managed to participate in a bachelor’s program in Egypt. However, they were required to sit for the qualifying examination (Imtiḥān Al-Qabul) in advance. According to the performance report issued by the Malaysian Examination Board, only two students are eligible to attend lectures at the university whilst the remainder of 569 students had to take the Arabic language course in the Arabic Language Centre. The same report also stated that a number of 6584 students had failed in the exam. Such large number of failure surprised many people, especially examination boards, sponsors and parents of students (STAM Yearly Report, 2012). As such, this study intends to examine the level of Arabic language proficiency of STAM candidates based on these two objectives:

1) Identifying the level of reading comprehension in the Arabic language among students of Malaysian Religion High Certificate (STAM) at the Institute of Al-Quran in Terengganu.

2) Recognizing the level of Arabic syntax among students of Malaysian Religion High Certificate (STAM) at the Institute of the Al-Quran in Terengganu.

2. Literature Review

Reading is not limited only to the mere process of transferring writing into language but it is also a skill of grasping and interpreting the meaning of written materials as well as verbal symbols (Harris & Sipay, 1981, p. 447; Husin, 1988, p. 157). Reading without comprehension of the written material is not considered as the actual act of reading (Dawud, 1990, p. 15). Abdul Aziz (1989, p. 254) and Lado (1980, p. 177) explained that reading is an act that yields understanding as well as recognition of words and pronunciation. The most important part of reading is the actual comprehension itself even when reader is unable to pronounce each word fluently.

Comprehension means the ability to establish, interpret as well as evaluate anything that relates to what is being read. It is also a process of retrieving meaning via communicative tools, either verbal, written or of specific symbols, at the same time encompassing complex mental processes such as identification, selection of meaning, forming generalization and providing assessment (Rohani, 1987, p. 167). According to Harris and Sipay (1981, p. 8), comprehensive reading is a result of interaction between perception towards graphical symbols that represents an individual’s skills in reading and knowledge in grammar. Thus, the act of reading and the ability to comprehend what is being read is a relationship that complements each other. Without comprehension, a reading action is incomplete and is not valid (Yusoff, 1999, p. 85; Zalizan, 1987, p. 115).

There are several sets of skills that need to be mastered in the aspect of reading Arabic. They are:

1) Reading speed: the length of time taken by a normal student to form words being read to the next word.

2) Intonation: student’s ability to style voice tones in accordance with the changes in a sentence and idiolect used.

3) Reading has to be parallel with the language’s discourse.

4) Placements of case endings on each word according to Arabic syntax.

5) Proper utterance of each word.

6) Recognizing the peculiarity in different letters with similar sounds.

7) Pronunciation of phonemes is precisely on makhraj.

8) Correct pronunciation of each letters with the character it represents.

9) Avoiding speech errors: inaccuracy that stems from defects in speech tools and slow growth rates.

Arabic syntactic aspects play an important role in reading Arabic. This is because in Malay or English, words are uttered and pronounced based on spelling and syllable (Suhaila et al., 2001). Whereas Arabic words need specific grammatical cases [signs] on each letter to form a word with meanings. Which is why it is crucial to have proper knowledge on every aspect of Arabic syntax so they can be applied into text comprehension. This study focuses on some essential Arabic syntax such as:
1) *Mubtada* (بَدْرُ) Subject

*Mubtada* refers to Nouns in Arabic (Jinnī, 1988, p. 29) or Derivatives (Hishām, 1997, p. 106). In which the subject is placed with a suffix (*i'rab*) of a nominative case ending (*raf*) in accordance with its position, which is at the beginning of a sentence. For example:

*الحَدِيثُ*:

“The student (he) is smart”

The example above shows that the word (الطلَّابِ) is a *mubtada*. It is also known as a noun that is placed at the beginning of a sentence (al-Khammāṣ, 2007, p. 109). The nominative case ending seen on the last letter *ba*, denotes the placement of *i'rab*.

2) *Khabar* (خبر) Predicate

*Khabar* is an important element in the completion of a sentence along with *Mubtada*. Three types of *Khabar*, they are *khabar mufad*, *khabar jumlah* and *shibih jumlah*. (Jinnī, 1988, p. 29; al-Maghālasah, 2007, p. 228; ‘Aqil, 1990, p. 175; Mustafā, 2007, p. 252). *Khabar mufad* consists of the noun *jāmidd* and verb *mushtaq*. (Hishām, 1997, p. 109). Placement of *i'rab* is similar to *mubtada*. For example:

*الحَدِيثُ*:

“Khalid is a hardworking boy”

The sentence above shows that the word (الجمِّيِّد) is the adjective *mushtaq* derived from the verb (اجتهد). The nominative case ending on the letter *dāl* makes it a *khabar*.

*الحَدِيثُ*:

“His wife (is like) the moon”

Whereas in this second example, the word (قمر) is the noun *jāmidd*, which is a noun that does not derive from a verb. (Babīf 1992: 402). Again, the nominative case ending on the letter *ra* makes it a *khabar*.

3) *Fa‘il* (فاعل) Doer

Nominative noun that comes after a verb in an active sentence. It refers to a person committing an act or an act deemed on someone (al-Shajrāwī, 2001, p. 165; Alī & Mustafā, 2007, p. 37). *Fa‘il* comes in several conditions, such as *ism Sarīh*, *bāriz* or hidden pronouns and invented derivatives (al-Shajrāwī, 2001, p. 166; al-Bayjūrī 2011, p. 100). For example:

*الحَدِيثُ*:

“Zaid has stood (up)”

The above example puts *Zaid* as a *fa‘il* (doer) in the form of *Ism Sarīh* with nominative case ending as an *i’rab*.

4) *Maf‘ūl bih* (فعل به) Object (that follows after the verb)

Nouns denoting something or someone that the verb is being done to. Marked by the accusative case ending as its *i’rab* (al-Qirshī, 2004, p. 94; Alī & Mustafā, 2007, p. 40). It is found in two conditions, firstly, to indicate an act that has already occurred and secondly, an act that has yet to happen (al-Maghālasah, 2007, p. 331). Examples are as follows:

أَكْرِمْتُ الْخَيْرَاءَ: “I honored the guests”

أَكْلَتْ الْذَّبْحَةِ الْخَرَافِ: “The wolf was devouring a goat”

5) *Ism majrūr* Nouns preceded by Prepositions

Genitive nouns placed after *jar* particles or additions (*idāfah*) or *tabi‘* in the previous *ism majrūr* (al-Maghālasah 2007: 431). The nouns being either *Sarīh* or *mushtaq* in the form of *mufad*, the *frāh* would be of genitive case ending, as shown below:

*الحَدِيثُ*:

“I walked passed a man”

In the sentence above, the word *رجل* is classified as *ism majrūr* with genitive case ending because it was preceded by the *jar* particle ِ.

6) *Na‘t ism majrūr* (نَعْتِ اسم مَجْرُور) Description of Nouns preceded by Prepositions

*Na‘t* is *tabi‘ah* (adjectives) that gives meaning to *matbu‘* (objects being described) or taken under *matbu‘* (al-Qirshī, 2004, p. 163). It can appear in forms of genitive, accusative as well as nominative after a certain noun to further describe it (al-Maghālasah, 2007, p. 459). Thus, *na‘t ism majrūr* is a *mushtaq* Noun that comes after *ism majrūr*. Below is an example of a sentence with the genitive case endings (*kasra*) as an *frāh*:

*الحَدِيثُ*:

“Prophet Muhammad SAW said in his noble hadith”

The word *الحَدِيثُ* is the *na‘t ism majrūr* with genitive case endings which describes the noun *الحَدِيثُ* which is preceded by the *jar* particle ِ.
Based on this discussion, the constructs in the measurement tools were made with the purpose of gathering information needed for this research, which is further explained in the research methodology.

3. Methodology

The format of this study is based on the qualitative approach in terms of data collection and uses quantitative approach to interpret the data. The methodology of this study includes:

3.1 Participants

Participants in this study consisted of all students from the Al-Quran Institute of Terengganu undertaking the Malaysian Higher Religion Certificate (STAM) of 2012. The total number of samples was 52 students, of which 32 are boys and 20 are girls.

3.2 Measurement Tools

In assessing the comprehension of the Arabic language, researcher has chosen a text written by Syeikh Yusuf al-Qardawi from the website: islamonline.com. The text consists of 448 words, which is the recommended length by Ahmad Tu’aimah (1985, p. 372).

The same text was chosen to assess the understanding of Arabic syntax as well as identifying the frequency of its errors. And from there, researchers cited a total of 18 sentences from the passage with constructs of Arabic syntax that this study is focusing at.

1) Arabic passage comprehension test set.

In this test, students are given the Arabic text passage with 50 blanks to be filled in. Discussions on this test can be found in chapter two under the sub topic Kloz technique, as a tool to evaluate comprehension and level of general reading. Each blank comes with an indirect multiple choice answer. This is because the objectivity of scoring is higher, at the same time ensuring a consistent method of measurement and total scores which are more reliable in assessing the level of comprehension (Aziz, 1989, p. 307). This test was conducted in silent reading and was carried out on students at the same time.

2) Arabic syntax diagnostic test set.

There are 18 questions in this test which represent all 18 sentences cited from the full passage. These sentences are chosen based on constructed Arabic syntactic which is the main focus of this study. Each sentence is provided with a long blank line with three choices of answers. There is only one correct answer. This test is performed individually and students are required to read aloud.

3.3 Analysis

The collected data in this study is further analyzed with the Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPPS) 14.0 software. As a result, the coefficient reliability score of the items in the reading comprehension test is .732. Meanwhile the Arabic syntax test scored .788.

1) Comprehension level and stages of general reading.

According to Ta’imah (1985, p. 379), the Kloz technique uses two ways of marking answer sheets, which is when students’ answer matches exactly with the original passage or when answers are applicable to the sentences in the passage. However, most researchers are keener on giving marks only when students give the right answer. The rationale in this preference of grading scheme is that it saves more time and prevents biasness amongst examiners.

In analysing Arabic reading comprehension, researchers are leaning more towards the right answer grading in which blanks are filled in with the original words from the passage. Marks are given in percentage by dividing correct answers and the total number of questions/blanks in the passage.

The reading comprehension marks will then be used as criteria to determine the level of general reading as what has been done by Davies and Vincent. There are three stages of general reading, namely, unattended reading, attended reading and the dissatisfactory stage. These three stages of reading comprehension is classified based on the total score in percentage, that is 75% to 100% for unattended reading, 26% to 74% for attended reading and 0% to 25% dissatisfactory. These specific scales are constructed with purposes which are related to students’ level of reading comprehension as well general reading stages.

2) Level of Arabic syntax proficiency

The diagnostic test marks obtained will be put into the Table of distribution frequency to facilitate data analysing and interpretation of students’ understanding and performances. The scores will then be summed up to get a min
score in the diagnostic test of Arabic syntax. The level of students’ competency is determined based on the assessment scale being used by the Malaysian Religion Higher Certificate, Malaysian Examination Board.

4. Findings

Based on analysis, the discussion in this subtopic can be divided into two parts. Specifically, the analysis of reading proficiency and the analysis of syntactic understanding of respondents.

4.1 Reading Proficiency

The distribution of scores obtained from the reading proficiency test is shown below, in Figure 1. The result shows that scores of 54% and 56% had the highest frequency with a total of seven students. Meanwhile, the five scores which rank the lowest are 32%, 68%, 70%, and 76% with only 1 student each. Whereas the maximum score is 76% and minimum is 32%. (See Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Distribution of reading proficiency scores](image)

Referring to Table 1, only 1 student, representing the 1.92% obtained the score in between 75% to 100%, which means that the student sits at the level of unattended reading. There are 51 students representing the other 98.08% scoring in between 26% to 74% placing them in the level of attended reading. Meanwhile no students were reported to fall into the dissatisfactory level. (see Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of Scores (x)</th>
<th>Stages of general reading</th>
<th>Frequency (f)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75% to100%</td>
<td>Unattended</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26% to 74%</td>
<td>Attended</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>98.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0% to 25%</td>
<td>Dissatisfactory</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 2. Distribution of arabic syntax diagnostic test scores](image)
4.2 Syntactic Competency

Figure 2 is showing the distribution of scores gathered from the Arabic syntax diagnostic test. Analysis resulted in placing 67% as the score with the highest frequency with a total of 14 students, followed by 83% with 10 students. Whereas the score with the lowest frequency is 50% with only 1 student. The maximum score is 94% and minimum is 50%. (see Figure 2).

Refering to Table 2, 17 students representing 32.69% obtained scores in between of 80% to 100%, which means that they are placed on top in the highest level. Meanwhile 32 students in the other 61.54% scored 55% to 79% putting them in the moderate group. Whilst only 3 students or 5.77% scored 0% to 54% placing them the in all three levels. (see Table 2).

Table 2. Level of Arabic syntax competency by frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of Scores (x)</th>
<th>Level of Arabic Syntax Competency</th>
<th>Frequency (f)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80-100</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>32.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-79</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>61.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00-54</td>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Table 3 above, min score for the construction of Ism Majrūr is 16.36. Followed by Muhtada’ which is 15.18. Subsequently, construction of fa’il with min score of 12.08 and khabar 11.76. Whereas Na’i Ism Majrūr has the min score of 10.3 and lastly Maf‘ūl Bih with 7.59. (see Table 3).

Table 3. Min score of diagnostic tests based on construct

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Min Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muhtada</td>
<td>7, 10 dan 14</td>
<td>15.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khabar</td>
<td>8, 11 dan 15</td>
<td>11.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maf‘ūl Bih</td>
<td>2, 5 dan 16</td>
<td>7.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fa’il</td>
<td>3, 6 dan 12</td>
<td>12.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ism Majrūr</td>
<td>1, 13 dan 17</td>
<td>16.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na’i Ism Majrūr</td>
<td>4, 9 dan 18</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>73.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total score of each construct is 16.67

This shows that students’ knowledge on the construction of Maf‘ūl Bih is much lesser than the other constructions since the obtained min score is the lowest, which is 7.59. Whereas students proved to understand the construction of Ism Majrūr very well, with the min score of 16.36.

5. Discussion

In general, the Arabic reading comprehension of students undertaking Malaysian Religion High Certificate (STAM) in the Institute of al-Quran, Terengganu is still at the level of attended reading with an average score of 53.73. It is believed that students are facing some difficulties in reading and comprehension, which means that students need to be given proper guidance and assistance in both aspects such as exposing them to a more effective reading strategy. In a study conducted by Poole (2009), both boys and girls tend to use the same reading strategy but girls seem to be diligent in the pursuit as compared to boys. Mokhtari & Sheorey (2002) also stated in their study that when students get creative in learning a certain foreign language, their grasp of the new language seems to be faster.

In order for improvements to take place, several reading strategies need to be implemented in the Arabic language classes. The study conducted by Morales & Holguin (2009) stated that students are supposed to be given guidance using the right reading strategy based on the reading materials, wherein learning modules have to stimulate students’ minds to think and read at the same time, encourage students to learn independently and at the same time ensuring teachers are given adequate trainings so that they will be able to help students develop...
their true potentials. Among the reasons which leads to this situation can be referred to students’ lack of exposure in the style of language used in writing Arabic as well as limited knowledge of its vocabulary (Suhaila Ahmad et al., 2011, p. 417). Besides that, it can also be caused by incompetent language instructors, subject modules as well as inadequate teaching aids (Huwaydi et al., 2011, p. 705).

At the same time, reading materials need to be suitable and captivating so that students will be more interested to read in Arabic. Wherein this study had chosen a text, which is classified as academic reading that may cause most respondents to lose interest. A study by al-Nafisah & al-Shorman (2010) concluded that students of a Teacher’s College tend to choose their literary reading materials based on interest, teacher’s recommendations, and characters in the stories, length and quality of the reading materials as well as how much money they will have to pay for them. This can pretty much be related to the abilities in mastering reading comprehensive skills. The same study also mentioned that some respondents believed that reading skills is not as important as improving other language skills.

As for the understanding of Arabic syntax, students from the same institute are placed at the moderate level as the average score of the diagnostic Arabic syntax test is 72.52. Similar to the viewpoint of scholars in past studies stating that the aspect of Arabic syntax is the main problem especially when it comes to Malay students because more often than not, methods of teaching and students’ expectations are never in line with each other (Mat & Goh, 2010). This may be caused by the method being used by language instructors teaching syntax depending solely on textbooks curriculum without taking into account students’ abilities in the difficulty level of the constructions. Language teachers need to be more sensitive in this matter so that students will be able to comprehend the subject more effectively.

According to Naimah Abdullah (2003), Sulaiman Ismail (1996) did a research on errors in constructions of adjective phrases among high school students while Halim Daud (2001) was focusing more on object phrases in Arabic. Both studies supported research done by Raja Mohd Fauzi, Mowafak & Mohamed Amin (1999, p. 116) which stated that most religious school students in Malaysia do not have a strong command in the Arabic language, either in reading comprehension, writing, speaking or listening. At the same time, most Malaysian students are facing difficulties in mastering Arabic grammar as well (Zaman & Taib, 2011, p. 205). In fact, mistakes are often made in placements of grammatical case endings while reading (Salamiah et al., 2011, p. 389).

University undergraduates majoring in Islamic Studies are facing the same problems. Even though they are widely exposed with the Arabic language via textbooks and lectures, their grasp of the language is still below par. Idris, Siti Saudah & Roziah (2002, pp. 9-10) in their research stated that overall, the 52 students of Islamic Studies from the Islamic National University were still not able to master Arabic with excellence. Most students scored 50% to 62.5% putting them on the moderate level. Not even one student excelled with scores in between 75% to 100%. The most worrying part is that this could implicate things in the future as students’ command of the language will deteriorate making it more difficult for them to understand the Quran, Hadiths as well as books of past scholars (Shahrin, 1995, p. 6).

6. Conclusion

The Arabic language is known to be the medium or wasilah for Muslims in strengthening Islam, faith and worship. Thus, the language holds a significant place in the Muslim community of different race and nations including the Malay Muslims. Teaching and learning of the Arabic language has to be improved from time to time and every party is responsible for it. Rooting from the curriculum makers and sprouting to all learners, each has a role to play in ensuring the level of Arabic language competency gets better towards achieving excellence.

Meanwhile, academicians need to look harder into what are lacking and all sorts of weaknesses students are facing in the process of learning Arabic. Perpetual studies for improvements in the field of Arabic linguistics should be given strong support and encouragement. Language instructors must also seek to find a way in ensuring students’ comprehension covers every corner in the Arabic language commands. This is because putting Arabic into practice would require an excellent understanding in all aspects of the language such as syntax, morphology, phonology as well as vocabulary. The main challenge being faced here would be on finding ways to help students master all of them using the language skills approach. Translation approach for Arabic teaching is considered an alternative to enhance student skill in language acquisition. Mat (2011; Mat et al. 2014) suggest that appropriate Arabic to Malay translation process will give more understanding of Arabic structure and syntactic function.
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**Appendix A**

Table A1. Scale of general reading criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Stages of General Reading</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0% to 25%</td>
<td>Dissatisfactory</td>
<td>Student faced a great deal of difficulties in reading and comprehension that led to frustration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26% to 74%</td>
<td>Attended</td>
<td>Student faced some difficulties and guidance is needed to help in reading and comprehension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75% to 100%</td>
<td>Unattended</td>
<td>Student is competent in reading and understanding what is being read without help or guidance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A2. Scale of grammar (*nahw*) test marks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of Scores</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mumtaz</td>
<td>Jayyid Jiddan</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jayyid</td>
<td>Maqbul</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rasib</td>
<td></td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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