Analysis of Multiresonance and Chaotic Behavior of the Polarization in Materials Modeled by a Duffing Equation with Multifrequency Excitations
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Abstract
This paper considers nonlinear dynamics of polarization oscillations when some materials are subjected to the action of an electromagnetic wave modeled by multifrequency forced Duffing equation. Multiresonance and chaotic behavior are analyzed. For the resonance analysis, the method of multiple scales is used. The phenomena of amplitude jump and hysteresis for polarization were observed and analyzed. Finally, the study of chaotic behavior for polarization was made by numerical simulation using the Runge-Kutta fourth order algorithm.
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1. Introduction
We consider the Duffing oscillator equation which models a material submitted to multifrequency excitation of an electromagnetic wave (Aïnamon, Miwadinou & Chabi Orou, 2014)

\[ \ddot{P} + 2 \varepsilon \mu \dot{P} + P + \varepsilon P^3 = F_{01} \cos \omega_1 t + F_{02} \cos \omega_2 t. \]  

(1)
The interaction of light with a non-linear optical material changes the properties of the material and thereby alters the frequency, phase or polarization of the light passed through. This is the cause of significant non-linear optical phenomena including the optical Kerr effect and frequency doubling (Boyd, R., 2007). Resonance plays an important role in non-linear physics problems specifically in the study of non-linear behavior of a material. The response of a nonlinear system to a weak periodic signal can be enhanced by means of an appropriate noise, a high frequency periodic force, or a chaotic signal (Gouttenoire et al., 2010; Nayfeh, 1981; Steven & Strogatz, 1994; Thomas, Nezamabadi, Lazarus, & Deü, 2013; Wiggins, 1990; Yang & Liu, 2010; Yang, Sanjuan, Wang, & Zhu, 2013; Yao & Zhan, 2010; Yao, Liu, & Zhan, 2011). The enhancements of the response of a system due to the applied weak noise, a high frequency force, or a chaotic signal are termed as stochastic resonance, vibrational resonance, and chaotic resonance, respectively. Among them, much attention has been given to stochastic resonance and a lot of progress has been made. However, the analysis of multiresonance has also received considerable interest in recent years due to its importance in a wide variety of contexts in physics, engineering, and biology. On the other hand, so far, it has been seen that the presence of chaos in many systems has been extensively demonstrated and is very common. The purpose of this paper is to take into account the multiresonance in the modeling of Equation (1) and then to investigate using analytical methods, harmonic and resonant states which can be displayed by the model in such conditions. We also aim to perform the possible bifurcation mechanisms of the model using numerical tools. The phase portraits and basin of attraction corresponding to the bifurcation diagram and its corresponding Lyapunov have been plotted.

The paper is organized as follows. Sec. 2, addresses the multiresonant states of the model through the multiple time scales method. In Sec. 3, we point out bifurcation and chaotic sequences of the model. We conclude in Sec. 4.
2. Multiresonant States

Generally, many types of oscillations can be found in a forced system additionally, to the harmonic oscillatory states. Such oscillation occurs when the external frequency is too close or far from the internal frequency, according also to the external excitation strength. Since these oscillations rise up at different time scale, the best tool to be used for their investigation is the multiple time method (Aïnamon, Miwadinou, & Chabi Orou, 2014; Gammaitoni, Hänggi, Jung, & Marchesoni, 1998; Gang, Ditzinger, Ning, & Haken, 1993; Nayfeh, 1981). In such a situation, an approximate solution is generally sought as follow:

\[
P(t, \epsilon) = P_0 (T_0, T_1) + \epsilon P_1 (T_0, T_1) + \cdots,
\]

where the fast time scale to end the slow time scale are associated respectively to the unperturbed system and to the amplitude and phase induced by the global first order perturbation. The first and second time derivatives can now be rewritten as follow:

\[
\frac{d}{dt}A = P_0 + 2\epsilon P_1 + \epsilon^2 P_2 + \cdots,
\]

with \( D_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^n} T_n = \epsilon^n t; \ n = 0,1,2, \ldots \).

2.1 Primary Resonant State

In this state, we set \( F_{01} = \epsilon F_1, F_{02} = \epsilon F_2 \). The external frequencies are given by \( \omega_1 \approx \omega_2 \approx 1 + \epsilon \sigma \).

Inserting these relations in Equation (1), we obtained:

\[
D_o^2 P_0 + P_0 = 0 ,
\]

and

\[
D_o^2 P_1 + \omega_o^2 P_1 = -2 D_o D_1 P_0 - 2\mu \delta_0 P_0 - \lambda P_0^3 + F_1 \cos \omega_1 T_0 + F_2 \cos \omega_2 T_0.
\]

The general solution of Equation (4) is:

\[
A = Ae^{i\omega_0 T_0} + CC ,
\]

with \( A = a(T_1) e^{i\theta} \). Inserting Equation (6) into Equation (5) we get

\[
D_o^2 P_1 + \omega_o^2 P_1 = \left[-2i \omega_0 A' - 2i\mu \omega_0 A - 3\lambda A^2 \tilde{A} + \frac{1}{2} F_1 e^{i\sigma T_1} + \frac{1}{2} F_2 e^{i\sigma T_1} \right] e^{i\omega_0 T_0} + NST + CC .
\]

By vanishing the secular terms, we obtain:

\[
-2i A' - 2i\mu A - 3\lambda A^2 \tilde{A} + \frac{F}{2} e^{i\sigma T_1} = 0,
\]

where \( F = F_1 + F_2, \omega_0 = 1 \).

Injecting A in Equation (8) and separating real and imaginary terms, we obtain the following system:

\[
a' = -\mu a + \frac{F}{2} \sin \theta ,
\]

\[
a' \theta' = 3\lambda a^3 - \frac{F}{2} \cos \theta,
\]

where \( \theta = \sigma T_1 - \theta \).

Finally, we obtain the coupled flow for the amplitude and phase

\[
a' = -\mu a + \frac{F}{2} \sin \theta ,
\]

\[
a' \theta' = a \sigma - \frac{3}{8} \lambda a^3 + \frac{F}{2} \cos \theta.
\]

The steady state conditions (\( a' = \theta' = 0 \)) imply:

\[
\mu a = \frac{F}{2} \sin \theta,
\]
Eliminating $\emptyset$ in Equation (12) and (13), we obtain the following nonlinear algebraic equation

$$\mu^2 a_0^2 + \left( a_0 - \frac{3}{8} \lambda a_0^3 \right)^2 = \frac{F^2}{4}. \quad (14)$$

where $a_0$ and $\emptyset_0$ are respectively the values of $a$ and $\emptyset$ on the steady-state.

Figure 1 shows a representative curve, called a frequency-response curve, for the variation of $a$ with $\sigma$. The bending of the frequency-response curve is responsible for a jump phenomenon. To explain this, we imagine that an experiment is performed in which the amplitude of the excitation is held fixed, the frequency of the excitation (i.e. $\sigma$) is very slowly varied up and down through the linear natural frequency, and the amplitude of the harmonic response is observed. The experiment is started at a frequency corresponding to point A on the curve in Figure 1. As frequency is reduced, $\sigma$ decreases and $a$ slowly increases through point B until point C is reached. As $\sigma$ is decreased further, a jump from point C to point D takes place with an accompanying increase of $\sigma$, after which $a$ decreases slowly with decreasing $\sigma$. If the experiment is started at point E and $\sigma$ is increased, $a$ increases slowly through point D until point F is reached. As $\sigma$ increased further, a jump from point F to B takes place with an accompanying decrease of $\sigma$, after which $a$ decreases slowly with increasing $\sigma$. The maximum amplitude corresponding to F is reached only when approached a lower frequency. The portion of the response curve between points C and F is unstable, and hence, cannot be produced experimentally.

If the experiment is performed with the frequency of the excitation $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ held fixed while the amplitude of excitation is varied slowly, a similar jump phenomenon can be observed. Suppose that the experiment is started at point A in Figure 2. As $F_1+F_2$ is increased, $a$ slowly increases through point B to point C. As $F_1+F_2$ is increased further, a jump takes place from point C to point D, with accompanying increase of $a$, after which $a$ increases slowly with $F_1+F_2$. If the process is reversed, $a$ decreases slowly as $F_1+F_2$ decreases from point E to point F. As $F_1+F_2$ is decreased further, a jump from point F to point B takes place, with an accompanying decrease in $a$, after which $a$ decreases slowly with decreasing $F_1+F_2$. Figure 1 (b) and Figure 2 (b) show the effect on jump and hysteresis phenomena of $F = F_1+F_2$ and $\mu$ respectively. Through these figures we notice that the excitation amplitude or damping parameter can be used to control the polarization oscillation in material.

Figure 1. Jump phenomena for primary resonance of the polarization in the system for $\mu = 0.004, \lambda = 1$; with (a): $F_1 = F_2 = F = 0.14$ and (b): The effects of parameter F
2.2 Other Resonant States

When the amplitude of the sinusoidal external force is larger than that of the precedent case, other type of oscillations can be displayed by the model, namely the superharmonic, the subharmonic oscillatory states (Aïnamon, Miwadinou, & Chabi Orou, 2014) and other secondary resonances states. It is now assumed that $F = \varepsilon^0 F$ and therefore, one obtains the following equations at different order of $\varepsilon$.

Order $\varepsilon^0$

$$D_0^2 P_0 + P_0 = F_{01} \cos \omega_1 t_0 + F_{02} \cos \omega_2 t_0$$

Order $\varepsilon^1$

$$D_0^2 P_1 + P_1 = -2 D_0 D_1 P_0 - 2 \mu D_0 P_0 - \lambda P_0^3$$

From Equation (15), we have

$$P_0 = + A e^{iT_0} + A e^{-iT_0} + \Lambda_1 e^{i\omega_1 T_0} - 3 \lambda \bar{A} \Lambda_1^2 e^{i\omega_1 T_0} + \Lambda_2 e^{i\omega_2 T_0} \Lambda_2 e^{-i\omega_2 T_0},$$

with $\Lambda_1 = \frac{F_{01}}{2(1-i\omega_1 T_0)}$, $\Lambda_2 = \frac{F_{02}}{2(1-i\omega_2 T_0)}$, and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} a(T_1) e^{-i\theta}$.

Substituting the general solution $P_0$ into Equation (16) we get

$$D_0^2 P_1 + P_1 = \left[-2 \mu (A' + \mu A) - 3\lambda A^2 \bar{A} - 6\lambda \Lambda_2^2 A - 6\lambda A \Lambda_1^2 \right] e^{iT_0} +$$

$$+ \left[-2 \mu \Lambda_1 - 6\lambda A \bar{A} \Lambda_1 - 6\lambda \Lambda_2^2 - 3\lambda \Lambda_1^2 \right] e^{i\omega_1 T_0} +$$

$$+ \left[-2 \mu \Lambda_2 - 6\lambda A \bar{A} \Lambda_2 - 3\lambda \Lambda_2^3 - 6\lambda \Lambda_2 \Lambda_1^2 \right] e^{i\omega_2 T_0} +$$

$$+ - 3 \lambda \Lambda_1 A^2 e^{i(-2+\omega_2)T_0} - 3 \lambda \Lambda_2 \bar{A} e^{i(-1+2\omega_2)T_0} +$$

$$+ - 3 \lambda \Lambda_1^2 e^{i(3+\omega_2)T_0} - 3 \lambda \Lambda_2^3 e^{i(3+\omega_1)T_0} - 3 \lambda \Lambda_1^3 e^{i(3+\omega_2)T_0} +$$

$$- 3 \lambda \Lambda_2^3 e^{i(3+\omega_1)T_0} - 6 \lambda \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 e^{i(1+\omega_1+\omega_2)T_0} +$$

$$- 6 \lambda A \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 e^{i(1+\omega_1+\omega_2)T_0} - 6 \lambda \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 e^{i(-1+\omega_1+\omega_2)T_0} +$$

$$- 3 \lambda \Lambda_2 A^2 e^{i(2+\omega_2)T_0} - 3 \lambda \Lambda_1 A^2 e^{i(2+\omega_1)T_0} +$$

$$+ - 3 \lambda \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 e^{i(2+\omega_1+\omega_2)T_0} - 3 \lambda \Lambda_2 \Lambda_1^2 e^{i(1+2\omega_1+\omega_2)T_0} +$$

$$- 3 \lambda \Lambda_2 \Lambda_1^2 e^{i(-2+\omega_1+\omega_2)T_0} - 3 \lambda \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2^2 e^{i(-1+2\omega_1)T_0} +$$

$$+ CC + NST$$

where CC denotes the complex conjugate and NST the non-secular terms.

The particular solutions of $P_1$ contain secular and small divisions terms. For the uniform expansion, the secular and small division terms must be eliminated by proper choices depending on the type of resonances. The cases of superharmonic ($3\omega_1 = 3\omega_2 = 1 + \sigma \varepsilon$) and subharmonic ($\omega_1 = \omega_2 = 3 + \sigma \varepsilon$) are treated in a previous work.
(Aïnamon, Miwadinou, & Chabi Orou, 2014). In the present work, we treat the three cases for secondary resonances: \( \omega_1 + \omega_2 = 2, 2 \omega_2 - \omega_1 = 1 \) and \( 2 \omega_1 - \omega_2 = 1 \).

### 2.2.1 Case \( \omega_1 + \omega_2 = 2 \)

In this case, we assume that \( \omega_1 + \omega_2 = 2 \) and no other resonances exist at first order. To describe quantitatively the resonance state when \( \omega_1 + \omega_2 = 2 \), we introduce the detuning parameter \( \sigma \) defined by \( \omega_1 + \omega_2 = 2 + \sigma \varepsilon \).

In this case, Equation (19) can be rewritten as follow

\[
D_0^2 P_1 + P_1 = [-2i(A' + \mu A) - 3\lambda A^2 A - 6\lambda \Lambda_1^2 + -6\lambda \Lambda_1^2 A] e^{i\tau_0} - 6\lambda A \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 e^{i\sigma T_1} e^{i\tau_0} + CC + NST. \tag{20}
\]

With this condition, we rewrite Equation (20) as

\[
D_0^2 P_1 + P_1 = [-2i((A' + \mu A) - 3\lambda A^2 \tilde{A} - 6\lambda \Lambda_1^2 - 6\lambda \Lambda_1^2 A] e^{i\tau_0} - 6\lambda \tilde{A} \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 e^{i\sigma T_1} e^{i\tau_0}. \tag{21}
\]

Eliminating the secular terms from Equation (21), we get

\[
2i((A' + \mu A) - 3\lambda A^2 \tilde{A} - 6\lambda \Lambda_1^2 - 6\lambda \Lambda_1^2 A] e^{i\tau_0} - 6\lambda \tilde{A} \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 e^{i\sigma T_1} e^{i\tau_0} = 0. \tag{22}
\]

Equating real and imaginary parts to 0 we obtain:

\[
a' - \mu a - 3\lambda \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \cos \Theta = 0, \tag{23}
\]

\[
a \theta' - \frac{3}{8} \lambda a^3 - 3\lambda(\Lambda_1^2 + \Lambda_2^2)a - 3\lambda \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \sin \Theta = 0, \tag{24}
\]

with \( \Theta = \sigma T_1 \) \(-2\theta \).

The system (23) becomes

\[
a' = -\mu a - 3\lambda \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \cos \Theta, \tag{24}
\]

\[
a \theta' = a \sigma - \frac{3}{8} \lambda a^3 - 3\lambda(\Lambda_1^2 + \Lambda_2^2)a - 3\lambda \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \sin \Theta, \tag{25}
\]

and leads to

\[
-3\mu a - 6\lambda \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 a \cos \Theta = 0, \tag{25}
\]

\[
a_s \sigma - \frac{3}{8} \lambda a_s^3 - 6\lambda(\Lambda_1^2 + \Lambda_2^2) a_s = -6\lambda \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 a_s \sin \Theta, \tag{26}
\]

by setting \( a' = 0 \) and \( \Theta' = 0 \).

The amplitude of this resonance state is governed by the following nonlinear algebraic equation

\[
4\mu^2 a^2 + (a_s \sigma - \frac{3}{8} \lambda a_s^3 - 6\lambda(\Lambda_1^2 + \Lambda_2^2) a_s)^2 = 36\lambda^2 \Lambda_1^2 \Lambda_2^2 a^2. \tag{26}
\]

Equation (26) can be rewritten as follow

\[
4\mu^2 + (\sigma - \frac{3}{4} \lambda a_s^2 - 6\lambda(\Lambda_1^2 + \Lambda_2^2) a_s)^2 = 36\lambda^2 \Lambda_1^2 \Lambda_2^2. \tag{27}
\]

\[
\Theta_s = \frac{1}{2} \sigma \epsilon t - \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sigma - \frac{3}{4} \lambda a_s^2 - 6\lambda(\Lambda_1^2 + \Lambda_2^2) \right]. \tag{28}
\]

We conclude that at order 1, the polarization is defined by

\[
P_0 = a_s \exp i \theta_s \cos(t) + \frac{F_1}{1-\omega_1^2} \cos \omega_1 t + \frac{F_2}{1-\omega_2^2} \cos \omega_2 t, \tag{29}
\]

where \( a_s \) and \( \theta_s \) are defined respectively by Equation (27) and Equation (28).

For this order, the susceptibility is defined through the following relation

\[
P_0 = E_0 \chi(\omega_i) E(\omega_i), i = 1,2 \tag{30}
\]

\[
\chi(\omega_i) = \frac{P_0}{E_0 E(\omega_i)}, \tag{31}
\]

where \( P_0 \) is defined by Equation (29).
In Figure 3 we plotted the amplitude-response curve. It is found that with this resonant state, the polarization of material exhibits the highest hysteresis phenomenon. This can induce dangerous or undesirable behaviors in material because the polarization and the susceptibility of a material might be subjected to a singularity which could lead to chaotic or catastrophic behaviors.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** Effects of amplitude of external forced on the resonance case $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = 2$

2.2.2 Cases $2\omega_1 - \omega_2 = 1 + \varepsilon \sigma$ or $2\omega_2 - \omega_1 = 1 + \varepsilon \sigma$

Here, we assume that $2\omega_1 - \omega_2 = 1 + \varepsilon \sigma$. From this relation, Equation (19) can be rewritten as follow:

$$D^2 \beta + \beta = (-2 i (A' + \mu A) - 3 \lambda A^2 \tilde{A} - 6 \lambda \lambda' A + -6 \lambda \lambda' \lambda' A) e^{i \eta \tau} - 3 \lambda \lambda' \lambda' \lambda' e^{i \eta \tau} - e^{i \eta \tau} + c + \epsilon.$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

Eliminating the secular terms from Equation (32) and equating real and imaginary parts to 0, we obtain

$$a' = -\mu a - 3 \lambda \lambda' \lambda' \lambda' \cos \phi,$$

$$ab' = \frac{3}{8} \lambda a^3 + 3 \lambda (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) a + 3 \lambda \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sin \phi,$$

with $\phi = \sigma T - \theta$.

Steady-state solutions are sought, the amplitude of this resonance state is governed by the following nonlinear algebraic equation

$$\mu^2 a^2 + \left( \sigma - \frac{3}{8} \lambda a^2 - 3 \lambda (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) a \right)^2 - 9 \lambda^2 \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

In Figure 4 we have plotted the amplitude-response curve. We found the same behaviors as in the previous case.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Jump phenomena for resonance states $2w_2 - w_1 \approx 1$ or $2w_2 - w_1 \approx 1$ of the polarization in the system for the parameters of Figure 1; (a): $w_2 = 1.5; w_1 = 2$ and (b): The effects of parameter $F$
3. Bifurcation and Chaotic Behaviors

To illustrate the dynamical behavior of the system it is necessary to simulate the original equations. Here, to identify the chaotic motion for resonant states, we have used the Runge-Kutta method of the fourth order to solve Equation (1). By varying the parameters of system, we draw the resulting bifurcation diagram and the variation of the corresponding largest Lyapunov exponent versus amplitude $F=F_1=F_2$. The Lyapunov exponent is defined as ($Enjieu, Chabi Orou & P. Woafo, 2008a, Enjieu, Nana, Chabi Orou & Talla, 2008b$):

$$Lya = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\ln \sqrt{\frac{dP^2 + d\hat{P}_r^2}{t}}}{t}$$ (35)

where $dP$ and $d\hat{P}_r$ are the variations of $P$ and $\hat{P}$ respectively.

In our numerical code we started calculations from the same initial conditions $P_0 = 1, \dot{P}_0 = 1$. It is found that the model can switch from quasi-periodic oscillations to chaotic states or from chaotic to quasi-periodic oscillations when the amplitude of external excitation is varied as shown in the bifurcation diagram and its corresponding Lyapunov exponent diagram (see Figures 5 and 6). In order to illustrate such situations, we have represented the phase portraits using the parameters of the corresponding bifurcation diagrams. With appropriate choice of the amplitude of the external excitation $F$, the phase portraits (chaotic and quasi-periodic motion) are observed in Figures 7 and 8 respectively for primary resonant state (Figure 5) and other resonant state $2\omega_1 - \omega_2 = 1$ (Figure 6). We noticed that the polarization behavior is very chaotic on a large domain in the last case (see Figures 6 and 8). Among these domains, we have the following $F \in [2.1, 14.5]$. It should be emphasized from Figure 6 that there are some domains where the Lyapunov exponent does not match very well the regime of oscillations expected from the bifurcation diagram. Far from being an error which has occurred from the numerical simulation process, such a behavior corresponds to what is called the intermittency phenomenon. Since the model is highly sensitive to the initial conditions, it can leave quasi-periodic state for a chaotic state without changing the physical parameters. Therefore, its basin of attraction has been plotted (see Figure 9) in order to situate some regions of initial conditions for which chaotic oscillations are observed. In that Figure, the blue zone stands for the area where the choice of the initial conditions lead to a chaotic motion while the white area is the domain of periodic or quasi-periodic oscillations. The same analysis are noticed through Figures 10, 11 and 12 and 13 which shown the effects of parameters $\mu, \varepsilon$ and external forcing amplitude.

Figures 13 and 14 are obtained when $\omega_1 + \omega_2 \approx 2$ and $2\omega_1 - \omega_2 \approx 1$ respectively with $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = 1$. Comparing these Figures with Figures 12 b) and 11 d) respectively, we noticed that the polarization of a material subjected to the action of a multifrequency electromagnetic wave is more chaotic when both frequencies are of the same magnitude than when they are different. This remark is confirmed by phase portraits plots. Polarization depends on the susceptibility of the material that allows the deduction of electrical, physical, mechanical ... properties of the material. The highly chaotic state of polarization when external frequencies are different results in a non-symmetrical susceptibility tensor leading to symmetry breaking (Figures 3 and 4). Thus the phase modulating, self-phase modulation, period doubling and Kerr-optic phenomenon properties will not be obtained. These various properties are easily derived in the case where the external frequencies are the same. From these chaotic basins, we notice that with these resonant states, the polarization of material exhibits dangerous or undesirable behaviors.
Figure 5. Bifurcation diagram (upper frame) and Lyapunov exponent (lower frame) versus the amplitude $F$ with the parameters $\mu = 0.05, \lambda = 1, \varepsilon = 0.02$ and $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \approx 1$

Figure 6. Bifurcation diagram (upper frame) and Lyapunov exponent (lower frame) versus the amplitude $F$ with the parameters $\mu = 0.05, \lambda = 1, \varepsilon = 0.02$ and $2\omega_1 - \omega_2 \approx 1$
Figure 7. Various phase portraits for several different values of $F$ with the parameters of Figure 5

Figure 8. Various phase portraits for several different values of $F$ with the parameters of Figure 6

Figure 9. Basin of chaoticity in subharmonic resonant state with $\mu = 0.004, \lambda = 1, \varepsilon = 0.271$ and $F=20$
Figure 10. Basin of chaoticity in the primary resonant state with $\mu = 0.004, \lambda = 1, \varepsilon = 0.271$ and $F=16.5$

Figure 11. Basin of chaoticity in the secondary resonant state $w_1 + w_2 \approx 2 (a) F = 0.25, (b) F = 3.92, (c) F = 10, (d) F = 14.1$ with $\mu = 0.004, \lambda = 1, \varepsilon = 0.271$
Figure 12. Basin of chaoticity in the secondary resonant state $2w_1 - w_2 \approx 1$; (a) $F = 0.25$, (b) $F = 3$, (c) $F = 8.5$, (d) $F = 4.8$ with $\mu = 0.004$, $\lambda = 1$, $\varepsilon = 0.271$

Figure 13. Effect of external frequency on basin of chaoticity in the secondary resonant state $2w_1 - w_2 \approx 1$ ($w_1 = w_2 = 1$) with $F = 3$
4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have analyzed the multiresonance and chaotic behaviors of nonlinear dynamics of polarization oscillations when some materials are subjected to the action of an electromagnetic wave modeled by a multifrequency forced Duffing equation. It is obtained by using multiple time scale method apart the cases of superharmonic ($3\omega_1 = 3\omega_2 = 1 + \sigma\varepsilon$) and subharmonic ($\omega_1 = \omega_2 = 3 + \sigma\varepsilon$) treated in previous work (Aïnamon, Miwadinou & Chabi Orou, 2014), primary resonance and three cases for secondary resonances: $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = 2, 2\omega_2 - \omega_1 = 1$ and $2\omega_2 - \omega_1 = 1$. The jump and hysteresis phenomena are obtained for polarization oscillations. We noticed that for some of these phenomena the system has important singularities which can cause chaotic see catastrophic behavior for the material. This is confirmed by bifurcation diagram and its corresponding Lyapunov exponent. It is found that the polarization oscillations are highly sensitive to the initial conditions through the basin of attraction which are obtained with the external forced amplitude predicted by different bifurcation diagrams. Finally, we noticed that it is possible to use the external frequencies of the electromagnetic wave and parameter $\varepsilon$ to control the material response.
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