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Abstract

This work explores the issue of disenfranchisement for Soviet citizens during 1926-1931 Troitskosavsk Municipal Soviet (council) Election campaigns and is based on archival data and various election authority reports. It has been shown that disenfranchisement could be used as one of the tools to fight against citizens of bourgeois views and create the New Soviet Society.
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1. Introduction

Subjectively, each and any citizen of at least 18 years of age shall have the right to vote and exercise this right at will. Eligibility to vote and the fundamental principles of this right are established in Russia mainly through the State Constitution, which shall guarantee that the state subjects (citizens) participate in state government and shall be “the supreme direct expression of the power of the people” (Constitution of the Russian Federation, 1993), who shall express their power by taking part in formation of elected bodies of state government, local self-government, and referendums. Throughout the world, disenfranchising criminal convicts is a common practice. Russian election laws also contain a provision establishing that citizens who were previously (or are currently) convicted or serving time in prison may be disenfranchised.

Currently, elections in Russia are governed by the Constitution of the Russian Federation from 1993 year, art. 1 and 3, and are democratic. The term ‘democratic elections’ is used in a sense that citizens are free to exercise their rights at will. Election authority reports on voting turnout at the President Elections and the State Duma Elections indicate that the elections in contemporary Russia are democratic. The RF President Elections 2012 had the voting turnout of 65.34%, while the same indicator for elections that took place on 18 March 2018 reached 67.47% (Elective Commission Reports). Voting turnout for State Duma elections was as high as 47.62% in 2003, 63.78% in 2007, 60.21% in 2011, and 47.88% in 2016 (Elective Commission Reports). The voting turnout results indicate that the elections were the actual unrestricted expression of power of Russian people. But was it always the case?

2. Literature Review: History of Election during First Period of Soviet Power

In 1918-1936 Russian Soviet Federative Socialist Republic (RSFSR), voting rights were governed by the Constitution adopted on 10 June 1918 that has Section 4 establishing the principles of active and passive suffrage (voting rights) (Constitution of the RSFSR 1918). First of all, Chapter 13 of this Constitution contains a detailed list specifying who shall have and who shall not have voting rights. However, the legal procedures for disenfranchising and restoring voting rights were not duly established in the state and all the regions until 1920s. Disenfranchising was used as a tool of the class war in the Soviet Russia from 1918, when the First Constitution of 1918 was adopted, to 1936, when the so-called Stalin’s Constitution entered force.

We are not going to cover all the social and demographic parameters of municipal and rural non-voters in the Buryat-Mongolian Republic. However, we do mean to consider how Chapter 4 of the Constitution was used against particular individuals in the Troitskosavsk - Buryat-Mongolian Autonomous Soviet Socialist Republic (BMASSR) during 1928 elections. To clarify our further statements, we will quote the provisions of Chapter 13 Clause 65:
“The following persons shall enjoy neither the right to vote nor the right to be voted for, even though they belong to one of the categories enumerated above, namely:

(a) Persons who employ hired labor in order to obtain from it an increase in profits;
(b) Persons who have an income without doing any work, such as interest from capital, receipts from property, etc.;
(c) Private merchants, trade and commercial brokers;
(d) Monks and clergy of all denominations;
(e) Employees and agents of the former police, the gendarme corps, and the Okhrana (Czar's secret service), also members of the former reigning dynasty;
(f) Persons who have in legal form been declared demented or mentally deficient, and also persons under guardianship;
(g) Persons who have been deprived by a Soviet of their rights of citizenship because of selfish or dishonorable offenses, for the period fixed by the sentence.”

A similar list of persons to be disenfranchised existed in the RSFSR Constitution of 1925 года, Art. 69 (Constitution of the RSFSR, 1925).

The number of such non-voters was substantial and varied region to region. For example, the RSFSR Western Region alone had the increase in non-voters from 1040 thousand in 1927 to 3038 thousand during 1925-1926 campaign (Valuev, 2003).

There were 2433390 disenfranchised non-voters, or 4.5% of the adult population, in RSFSR. In 1925, the number of rural non-voters amounted to 1706025 citizens (3.9%), as compared to 1390747 citizens (3.3%) during 1926-1927 campaign. The percent of the non-voters surged almost everywhere (Soviet Elections and Structure of USSR Government, 1931).

The overall number of non-voters throughout the USSR increased by 700 thousand. As a result, 3716 thousand people (4.9% of the adult population) were disenfranchised. 4.1% of rural population were made non-voters (Results of RSFSR Elections to Soviets 1929).

Article 15 of Instruction for City and Rural Soviet (council) Elections and Convocating Soviet Congress dated 26 November 1926 (Instruction for City and Rural Soviet (council) Elections, 1926) established the social groups to be disenfranchised and the potential procedure for restoring the voting rights to previously disenfranchised people ‘currently engaging in socially useful productive work, provided they prove themselves loyal to the Soviet Regime.’ The non-voters had a right to appeal against the resolution of the Electoral Commissions within one week upon the List of the Disenfranchised is published or acknowledged.

3. Analysis: Elections in Provincial City Troitskosavsk during 1926-1931 Years

The documents 1-3 show that some of the citizens disenfranchised under Electoral Commission resolutions did appeal to higher commissions with some appeals even answered favorably. As for Troitskosavsk, disenfranchisement was imposed on all ex-officers of the Tsar’s Army, including both those that had taken part in the Civil War among the White and those who had had no part in the War at all, and also officers’ wives, in addition to the citizens falling under the categories present in the mentioned list established by the Constitution. Disenfranchisement Decrees were based on lists provided by the Municipal Court, Aimak Executive Committee Administrative Department, or party cell data.

The Municipal Court provided the Electoral Commission with 2 lists. The first list contained surnames of the citizens disenfranchised by court judgment for 2-5 years (1926-3, 1927-7 and 1928-6 citizens). The second list consisted of surnames of ex-officers, military officials, policemen (also provided below as Table 1). The Aimak Executive Committee sent a list of 9 priests. The town had several party cell election districts established. The lists written by party cells had a substantial number of citizens included (156) and very peculiar descriptions of the lifestyles and political activities that became the reason to include them. ‘An obvious ideological backslider, speculator, ex-speculator, reseller, merchant, black market dealer, related to merchants, worker at a butcher shop, jeweler’s wife, ex-tradeswoman, 2nd level school teacher, major tradeswoman, meat seller’s husband, caregiver for dependants, drayman, transports people by drought horse, beerhouse host, cattle buyer, visits nomad camps, involved with Harbin due to being active participants of Ungern and Semenov’s bands, enthusiastic white bandit, involved in bringing Chinese troops across our borders, communicates with brother living in Manchuria, socialist revolutionary, unemployed, has a priest brother, ex-officer, socialist revolutionary distributing...
propaganda for elections, arrested in 1924 for counter-revolutionary correspondence, former Socialist
Revolutionaries party member.’

Here is an impressive excerpt from the last list (National Archive of Republic of Buryatia (NARB), sheet 17, 17
backside). ‘Minister Malychev, Sosnina Street. A priest. Wife of Minister Malychev, Sosnina Street. Have profits
from house holding. Aunt Grunya, Serova Street. Sells beer, kwas, ravioli, uses waged labor. (bold italics by the
author). Rychkova, Naberezhnaya Street. Builder working for a border detachment.’

Let us look into the list of the disenfranchised for the Soviets Elections of 1928 provided by the Municipal Court
(NARB, sheet 21), the republican Electoral Commission resolution to disenfranchise Barozzi de Els, I.N.
(NARB, sheet 9), and an appeal by the wife of ex-Ataman (headman) of the Third Military Unit of the
Transbaikal Cossack Army to restore her voting rights (NARB 9, sheet 2, 3).

Table 1. Ex-officers of the White Army living in Troitskosavsk (Resolution: to Electoral Commission)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Full Name (surname, name, patronymic)</th>
<th>Social Position and Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Korobin, Sergei Sergeevich</td>
<td>a war-time official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lizunov, Nikola Ustinovich</td>
<td>lieutenant of the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lesanobskiy, Kazimir Vikentievich</td>
<td>warrant officer of the Old Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Kanter, Oleg Pavlovich</td>
<td>warrant officer of the Semyonovich’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kokovin, Leonid Mikhailovich</td>
<td>junker of the Old Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kokorin, Panteleimon Semyonovich</td>
<td>junker of the Kolchak’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Izboldin, Dmitri Aleksandrovich</td>
<td>war-time official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Zarubin, Innokenty Dmitrievich</td>
<td>lieutenant of the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Buinovskiy, Mikhail Nikolaevich</td>
<td>sub-lieutenant of the Kolchak’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bobrov, Aleksandr Semyonovich</td>
<td>warrant officer of the Semyonovich’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Burin, Pyotr Petrovich</td>
<td>lieutenant colonel of the Old Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Nikiporenko, Konstantin Semyonovich</td>
<td>official of the war time and the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Baranovitch, Eugeni Vladimirovich</td>
<td>staff captain of the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Khalturin, Semyon Aleksandrovich</td>
<td>Semyonovich Army warrant officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Pravoverov, Aleksander Vasilievich</td>
<td>Kolchak Army warrant officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Yakimov, Georgi Dmitrievich</td>
<td>official of the war time and the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Lozakovich, Sergei Dmitrievich</td>
<td>official of the war time and the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Anisimov, Innokenty Nikolaevich</td>
<td>sub-lieutenant of the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Chirkov, Mikhail Nikolaevich</td>
<td>sub-lieutenant of the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Dyomkin, Georgi Stepanovitch</td>
<td>official of the war time and the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Samoilov, Mikhail Vasilievich</td>
<td>official of the war time and the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Overin, Ivan Afansievich</td>
<td>official of the war time and the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Buinovskiy, Nikolai Yulianovich</td>
<td>Kolchak Army Colonel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Barozzi de Els, Ivan Nikolaevich</td>
<td>Old Army Colonel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Nikolaev, Pavel Vasilievich</td>
<td>sub-lieutenant of the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Berg, Vasily Germanovich</td>
<td>Kolchak Army warrant officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Lisetskiy, Nikolai Anisimovich</td>
<td>sub-lieutenant of the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Chirkov, Josif</td>
<td>sub-lieutenant of the Semyonov’s Army</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After that, a list of former police officers follows, including 2 bailiffs and 4 policemen (NARB 9, sheet 21).
Table 1 includes 6 officers of various ranks and military officials who did not take part in the Civil War with the
White Army but were still added to the non-voter list. Among the mentioned officers who did not serve in the
White Army, No. 24 is Barozzi de Els, Ivan Nikolaevich.

You can find his service record from the Razvedchik Journal (Razvedchik, 1915), which was being published up
to 1917 in St. Petersburg, below (Figure 1). As can be seen in Figure 1, the last date there is 01.08.1916. During
the period, Ivan Nikolaevich served as the Ataman (headman) of the Third Military Unit of the Transbaikal
Cossack Army. He retired due to health issues on 15 April 1917 (under Order No. 334). He took no part in the
Civil War neither with the White Army, nor with the Red Army. His and his son’s life are described in
(Parkhomov, Barotti, 2011).

As can be seen (Figure 1), Ivan Nikolaevich made his contribution, had merits to the Country and was faithful in
his duty in the Old Army. None of paragraphs in Chapter 65 Article 13 of the RSFSR Constitution applied to him.

In addition to the ataman’s personal record, he had three sons who served in the Pre-Revolutionary Army and took part in the Great War. One of them, lieutenant Nikolai, died a heroic death at the Western Front in 1916 and was posthumously awarded IV degree Saint George's Cross. Another son also served at the Western Front also was awarded the Order of St. Stanislav IV degree and St. Anne IV and III degree and returned to Troitskosavsk in 1918 for his leave (Parkhomov, 2018).

Lieutenant (since 13.12.1890). Sub-esaul (about 1893, awarded on 22.11.1893 for a merit). Esaul (1899, awarded 06.05.1899 for merits). Senior Aide-de-Camp in the Office of the First Military Unit of the Transbaikal Cossack Army (30.10.1900-18.01.1907). Assistant Ataman of the First Military Unit of the Transbaikal Cossack Army (18.01.1907-08.07.1913). Lieutenant Colonel (1908, 26.02.1908 for a merit). Ataman of the Third Military Unit of the Transbaikal Cossack Army (since 08.07.1913). Colonel (1913, 05.10.1913 for a merit). As of 01.03.1914 and 01.08.1916, the title and rank remain unchanged. Awards: II Degree St. Stanislav (1907), II Degree St. Anna, IV Degree St. Vladimir (Supreme Order of 13.06.1915).

Sources: Colonels listed in order of precedence. The list established as of 01.03.1914. St. Petersburg, 1914; Colonels listed in order of precedence. The list established as of 01.08.1916. St. Petersburg, 1916; Supreme Orders of the Military Department//Razvedchik No.1297, 15.09.1915

In our opinion, Ivan Nikolaevich was disenfranchised due to the general extrajudicial and class-based approach of the decision-makers. He was considered a person not loyal to the Soviets and a citizen without any employment record after the Revolution. This point of view is supported by the following document 1 drawn up by the BM ASSR Central Electoral Commission that was to reconsider the decision of the Municipal Electoral Commission (NARB, sheet 6).

Document 1.
«Troitskosavsk
Meeting of the Troitskosavsk Municipal Electoral Commission
Held on 8 January 1931
Agenda: Letter of Request of the Central Electoral Commission dated 9.11. No. 109-b to reconsider if Barozzi-de-Els is to be disenfranchised.
Resolved as follows: Having considered all the materials in the Barozzi-de-Els Disenfranchisement Case, we
found that: as per art. 15, the citizen in question shall be denied the right to vote as a former Cossack Ataman
who have showed no loyalty to the Soviet Rule during all the period thereafter and has no employment record for
the period of revolution.

This copy shall deem true and duly signed.
I certify this a true copy. Popova, Secretary.
BM ASSR Central Electoral Commission.
Our Ref.No. 294 dated 31.12. this year
Please find materials in the Barozzi-de-Els Disenfranchisement Case attached, namely: appeal, exempt from the
Aimak Electoral Commission protocol. In addition, Mr. Barozzi-de-Els received exempt from the BM ASSR
Central Electoral Commission protocol, where his request to restore him in his right to vote is also denied.
Attachment: as described above.
Zharkoi, Municipal Soviet Deputy Chairman.
Kopylevich, Secretary.
As of now, Ivan Nikolaevich Barozzi-de-Els is a supported by his son, Ponteleimon Ivanovich Barozzi-de-Els,
who works for the Troitskosavsk Cooperative Society as an accountant.
Likhonin, Municipal Soviet Chairman.
Popova, Secretary.'

Figure 2. No-voters. Retired Colonel and Ataman of the Third Military Unit of the Transbaikal Cossack Army
Ivan Nikolaievich Barozzi de Els with his wife Antonina Klavdievna. (Troitskosavsk 1929 ?)

It is astonishing and respect-inspiring that his wife decided to try and appeal the resolution of the Electoral
Commission concerning her voting rights. Her appeal strikes you as an extremely well-formulated writing in
term of both Russian language and law (NARB, sheet 2, 3, see Document 2, Document 3 below).

Document 2.

‘To: Troitskosavsk Municipal Soviet Electoral Commission.
From: Citizen Antonina Klavdievna Barozzi-De-Els.
Appeal.
The Disenfranchiseisment List for the Municipal Soviet Elections published by the Commission had my name
listed on account of me being a wife of a former ataman. However, paragraph II art. 12 of the Instruction for
Soviet Elections adopted by the SSR Central Executive Committee Presidium of 28 September 1926, which is in effect during elections to date, state that ‘family members of those disenfranchised shall not be disenfranchised, unless they are dependants of those disenfranchised.’ I am not my husband’s dependant, as he is disabled, and we both are our son’s dependants, which is the reason why I have never been disenfranchised before this year.

Due to the fact that my disenfranchisement has no standing in the Soviet Law, I find it unjust and hereby appeal to restore my civil rights.

Please inform me of subsequent resolution by sending it to my residential address: Troitskosavsk, 1 Ispolkomskaya Street.

22 December 1928.

A. Barozzi-De-Els.”

The Municipal Electoral Commission considered the appeal and resolved it favorably (See Document 3).

**Document 3.**

“Troitskosavsk.

Troitskosavsk Electoral Commission.

Antonina Klavdievna Barozzi-De-Els Disenfranchisement Case.

Start Date 1928. End Date 1928.

Appeal by Citizen Antonina Klavdievna Barozzi-De-Els.

Exempt from Scheduled Meeting Protocol No.3 of Troitskosavsk Electoral Commission.

Held on 24 December 1928.


Due to the fact that Antonina Barozzi-De-Els is not materially dependent on her husband, who is a former ataman, Ivan Nikolaevich Barozzi-De-Els, and is her son’s dependant instead, we find it legitimate to restore the voting rights of Antonina Klavdievna Barozzi-De-Els ” (NARB, sheet 24).

**4. Comparison of Electoral Systems in Russia and Australia**

We could conclude the analysis of disenfranchisement as a tool to fight unwanted social layers in the USSR and Russia here. However, we found a way to compare elections through the part citizens of the same surname took in it in the USSR with foreign practice in addition to comparison with the modern-day Russia.

Ivan Nikolaevich and Antonina Klavdievna had a son, Vsevolod, who took part in the Civil War with the White Army of Ataman Semyonov. After the Army was defeated he emigrated to Manchuria with his wife Elizaveta Yakovlevna. They had a son there whom they named Or. After his father died in Harbin, Or with all his family (mother, wife, son and daughter) emigrated to Australia (Parkhomov, 2018). They moved several times and ended up in Paramatta where in 1960 they became Australian Citizens and were included in the Paramatta Electoral Rolls (Figure 3). Thus having lived 8 years in Australia before obtaining the right to vote in the next State Elections in 1962 and Federal Elections in 1963.

![Paramatta Electoral Rolls (Australia)](image)

Australian election system should also be mentioned. Unlike the Soviet Union and Russia where citizens could be deprived of their right to vote, Australia has compulsory voting system. Voting is compulsory at federal elections,
as well as State and Territory elections. It became compulsory in 1922. This law was introduced due to low voting turnout during federal elections (59.38 %), which meant that the parliament elected could not be considered legitimate. (Compare to turnout of 47.62% in 2003 and 47.99% in 2016 for the State Duma Elections in Russia). Australia enforces compulsory voting. People in this situation are asked to explain their failure to vote. If no satisfactory reason is provided (for example, illness or religious prohibition), a fine (ranging from 20.00 to 70.00 $AU) is imposed, and failure to pay the fine within specified period may result in a court hearing and additional penalty (Electoral system of Australia).

5. Conclusion

In the light of the above data, it can be concluded that disenfranchisement in this individual town, as well as in the USSR in general, was based on the family and social background without taking into account biography, merits and current status of a non-voter. Disenfranchisement was one of the main tools used by the Soviet Government to fight against citizens of bourgeois views and change the social structure by implementing the Policy to Eliminate the Class of Kulaks (Ergina, 2011). However, statistic data provided above shows that disenfranchisement was 4.1% in rural areas of the USSR and 3.9% in rural areas of the RSFSR, which means that non-voters were mostly from the well-educated urban population: former officers, officials and small-scale manufacturers.

To sum it up, electoral law in post - Soviet Russia and a country of well-developed democracy is quite different from the Soviet one. Several generations of one family name had to learn this difference the hard way.
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